



The Impact of Flipped Classroom Pedagogy on Student Engagement and Academic Performance in Secondary Education

Sehrish Sarfaraz¹, Hina Shaukat² & Dr. Muhammad Nafees³

¹PhD Scholar, Department of Education, ALHAAMD Islamic University, Islamabad, Email: msehrish02@gmail.com

²Lecturer, Department of educational sciences, National university of Modern languages, Islamabad, Email: hshoukat@numl.edu.pk

³Professor, Department of Education, ALHAAMD Islamic University, Islamabad, Email: dr.nafees@aiiu.edu.pk

ARTICLE INFO			ABSTRACT
Article History:			<i>The flipped classroom pedagogy has emerged as an innovative instructional approach that transforms traditional teacher-centered classrooms into interactive, student-centered learning environments. This study investigates its impact on student engagement and academic performance in secondary education. The objectives of the study were: 1) To determine the impact of flipped classroom pedagogy on the academic achievement of secondary school students, 2) To assess the relationship between flipped classroom pedagogy and the level of student engagement in secondary education, and 3) To analyze the predictive role of student engagement in mediating the relationship between flipped classroom pedagogy and academic performance. The population of the study comprised 26,334 students (10,083 male and 16,251 female), and a sample of 350 students was selected using random sampling with 45% male and 55% female representation. A self-developed questionnaire was used as the research tool. Content validity was ensured through expert reviews, and the tool's reliability was confirmed using Cronbach's Alpha with a value of 0.83. Data were analyzed using correlation and regression analysis through SPSS. The main finding indicated that flipped classroom pedagogy significantly enhances both student engagement and academic performance, with engagement playing a mediating role. Hence, it is recommended that secondary schools adopt flipped classroom strategies to foster active learning and improve academic outcomes. This study is significant as it provides empirical evidence to support modern pedagogical approaches in enhancing learning experiences and outcomes at the secondary education level.</i>
Received:	March	25, 2025	
Revised:	May	21, 2025	
Accepted:	May	26, 2025	
Available Online:	June	02, 2025	
Keywords:			
Flipped Classroom, Pedagogy, student engagement, academic performance, secondary education			
Corresponding Author:			
Sehrish Sarfaraz			
Email:			
msehrish02@gmail.com			

**OPEN ACCESS**



Introduction

The rapid evolution of digital technologies has transformed traditional pedagogical approaches and catalyzed the emergence of innovative instructional strategies in contemporary education. Among these, the flipped classroom model has garnered significant attention as a learner-centered approach that shifts the conventional delivery of instruction. In this model, direct instruction is delivered outside the classroom, typically through videos or online resources, while class time is devoted to collaborative learning, problem-solving, and individualized support (Bergmann & Sams, 2012). The flipped classroom pedagogy aims to increase student engagement and deepen understanding by repositioning students as active participants in the learning process. Its integration into secondary education represents a promising response to the challenges of passive learning, disengagement, and the need for differentiated instruction.

Student engagement is recognized as a critical predictor of academic success. It encompasses behavioral, emotional, and cognitive dimensions that collectively influence a student's commitment to learning and willingness to invest in educational tasks (Fredricks, Blumenfeld, & Paris, 2004). Traditional lecture-based instruction, while efficient for content delivery, often fails to actively engage students or accommodate diverse learning styles. The flipped classroom offers an alternative that encourages autonomy, fosters interaction, and provides opportunities for formative feedback. Research suggests that students in flipped classrooms demonstrate higher levels of engagement and motivation compared to those in traditional settings (O'Flaherty & Phillips, 2015). This pedagogical shift aligns with constructivist theories, emphasizing active learning, knowledge construction, and learner responsibility.

In the context of secondary education, student engagement is particularly significant. Adolescents are at a developmental stage where motivation, identity formation, and peer interaction heavily influence academic behaviors. The flipped classroom addresses these factors by allowing students to control the pace of learning outside of class and to collaborate with peers during in-class activities. Moreover, it supports differentiated instruction, enabling teachers to identify and respond to individual learning needs more effectively (Lo & Hew, 2017). As a result, the model has the potential not only to improve engagement but also to enhance academic performance, especially in diverse and inclusive classroom settings.

Academic performance remains the primary measure of educational effectiveness and student achievement. It is influenced by multiple variables, including instructional quality, student motivation, classroom environment, and parental support. The flipped classroom model affects academic performance by altering the dynamics of instruction and learning. Studies have demonstrated that students exposed to flipped learning environments often outperform their peers in traditional classrooms in terms of test scores, conceptual understanding, and long-term retention (Karabulut-Ilgu, Jaramillo Cherez, & Jahren, 2018). These gains are attributed to increased time for application-based activities during class and the ability for students to review content multiple times through pre-recorded materials.

Furthermore, the flipped classroom aligns well with 21st-century educational goals that emphasize critical thinking, creativity, communication, and collaboration. These competencies require more than passive absorption of content; they demand active engagement with ideas and peers. The flipped model encourages students to come to class prepared, having engaged with instructional content beforehand, and ready to apply their knowledge through discussion, experimentation, and group work. This model not only promotes deeper learning but also cultivates skills essential for lifelong learning and future careers.

However, implementing the flipped classroom in secondary education presents several challenges. These include technological access, teacher preparedness, student accountability, and curriculum alignment. Effective implementation requires careful planning, investment in digital resources, and professional development for educators. Moreover, teachers must be adept at designing meaningful in-class activities that reinforce and expand upon pre-class learning. Students, in turn, must adapt to increased responsibility for their own learning, which may require support in developing time management and self-regulation skills (Bishop & Verleger, 2013).

In the context of developing countries, including regions with limited technological infrastructure, the implementation of flipped pedagogy necessitates innovative adaptations. Equitable access to digital content and internet connectivity remains a barrier to widespread adoption. Yet, creative solutions such as offline video distribution, blended models, and community-based learning hubs can mitigate these challenges. Research in such contexts can offer valuable insights into scalable and context-sensitive applications of flipped learning (Abeysekera & Dawson, 2015).

Overall, the flipped classroom represents a significant paradigm shift in pedagogy with far-reaching implications for secondary education. It not only transforms the instructional role of teachers and the learning roles of students but also redefines the physical and temporal boundaries of the classroom. As schools worldwide strive to improve educational outcomes and foster learner-centered environments, understanding the impact of this model on student engagement and academic performance becomes crucial.

This study seeks to explore the implementation of flipped classroom pedagogy in secondary schools, focusing on its influence on student engagement and academic performance. Through empirical investigation and contextual analysis, the research aims to provide evidence-based recommendations for educators, policymakers, and school leaders. Ultimately, the findings may contribute to the effective integration of emerging pedagogical trends into mainstream education, promoting inclusive, interactive, and impactful learning experiences for all students.

Objectives of the Study

- To determine the impact of flipped classroom pedagogy on the academic achievement of secondary school students.
- To assess the relationship between flipped classroom pedagogy and the level of student engagement in secondary education.
- To analyze the predictive role of student engagement in mediating the relationship between flipped classroom pedagogy and academic performance.

Hypotheses of the Study

- 1) There is a statistically significant impact of flipped classroom pedagogy on the academic performance of secondary school students.
- 2) There is a significant relationship between flipped classroom pedagogy and student engagement in secondary education.
- 3) Student engagement significantly mediates the relationship between flipped classroom pedagogy and academic performance.

Significance of the Study

This study holds considerable significance in the evolving landscape of secondary education by addressing the effectiveness of flipped classroom pedagogy—a learner-centered instructional approach that inverts traditional teaching methods. By shifting passive in-class lectures to pre-class video content and utilizing class time for active learning, the flipped model presents a promising

strategy to enhance both student engagement and academic achievement. Understanding its impact can offer evidence-based insights into how pedagogical innovation affects learning outcomes.

Moreover, this research contributes to the academic discourse by exploring the relationship between flipped instruction and student engagement, which is a critical determinant of academic success. Student engagement, encompassing behavioral, emotional, and cognitive dimensions, often influences how well learners absorb content and participate in classroom activities. Analyzing its mediating role provides a deeper understanding of how instructional strategies indirectly shape academic performance through engagement mechanisms.

The findings of this study can benefit curriculum developers, educational policymakers, school administrators, and classroom practitioners by providing empirical data to support the adoption of flipped classroom practices. It also offers a foundation for designing targeted interventions that foster active learning environments and promote higher academic standards in secondary education.

Literature Review

The Impact of Flipped Classroom Pedagogy on Student Engagement and Academic Performance in Secondary Education

Evolution of Flipped Classroom Pedagogy

The flipped classroom model is a pedagogical innovation that redefines the traditional sequence of learning activities. It originated from the need to address the limitations of conventional lecture-based teaching by shifting direct instruction outside the classroom and reallocating in-class time for active, collaborative learning (Bergmann & Sams, 2012). While the concept has roots in earlier forms of blended and distance learning, the emergence of user-friendly digital technologies and increased access to internet-based resources have significantly accelerated its adoption (Tucker, 2012). The flipped classroom was initially embraced in higher education and STEM disciplines, but recent years have seen its expanding application in secondary education across various subjects.

This paradigm shift in pedagogy is driven by the demand for more student-centered learning environments, especially in the digital age. As early adopters reported success in improving student comprehension and performance, interest in the model grew among educators and policymakers seeking to foster deeper learning and better prepare students for 21st-century challenges (Lage, Platt, & Treglia, 2000). The flipped classroom aligns with educational reforms emphasizing competency-based learning, differentiated instruction, and constructivist approaches that place students at the center of their learning journey.

Theoretical Foundations of Flipped Learning

Flipped classroom pedagogy is grounded in several theoretical frameworks that support active learning and learner autonomy. Constructivist theory posits that learners actively construct knowledge through experiences and interactions rather than passively receiving information (Piaget, 1952; Vygotsky, 1978). This aligns with the flipped model's emphasis on pre-class engagement with content and in-class application through discussion and problem-solving.

Another theoretical underpinning is Bloom's revised taxonomy, which encourages a shift from lower-order to higher-order thinking skills during classroom time (Anderson & Krathwohl, 2001). By moving content delivery to pre-class settings, students are able to use valuable class time for

applying, analyzing, and evaluating knowledge—activities situated higher in Bloom's hierarchy. Self-Determination Theory (Deci & Ryan, 1985) also supports the flipped classroom's effectiveness by emphasizing autonomy, competence, and relatedness as key motivators in the learning process.

Student Engagement in Flipped Classrooms

Student engagement is widely recognized as a critical factor influencing academic success. In flipped classrooms, engagement is enhanced by the model's capacity to accommodate diverse learning styles and promote active participation. Fredricks, Blumenfeld, and Paris (2004) define student engagement in terms of behavioral, emotional, and cognitive involvement in learning activities. The flipped approach fosters all three dimensions by encouraging students to prepare before class, engage in collaborative tasks, and reflect on their learning.

Studies have found that students in flipped classrooms exhibit increased motivation, participation, and enjoyment compared to traditional settings (Hamdan, McKnight, McKnight, & Arfstrom, 2013). For example, Fulton (2012) reported that high school students were more likely to engage with lessons when they could watch videos at their own pace and discuss content during class. Moreover, flipped learning promotes a sense of ownership and responsibility, as students are required to come to class prepared to contribute meaningfully to discussions and group work.

Impact on Academic Performance

Numerous empirical studies have examined the impact of flipped learning on student academic achievement. In general, findings suggest that flipped classrooms can lead to improved performance in assessments, greater retention of knowledge, and higher levels of critical thinking. A meta-analysis by Cheng, Ritzhaupt, and Antonenko (2019) indicated that students in flipped classrooms performed significantly better than those in traditional classrooms across various academic disciplines, including secondary education.

In mathematics and science education, the flipped model has been particularly effective. Roehl, Reddy, and Shannon (2013) noted that high school students in flipped science classes scored higher on exams and demonstrated better conceptual understanding. Similarly, Love, Hodge, Grandgenett, and Swift (2014) found that flipped instruction improved problem-solving abilities and standardized test scores in high school mathematics. These gains are often attributed to increased time for practice and feedback during class sessions.

Teacher Roles and Instructional Design

The flipped classroom necessitates a fundamental shift in the role of the teacher from a content deliverer to a facilitator of learning. Teachers must develop engaging pre-class materials, such as videos, podcasts, and readings, and design in-class activities that promote application and deeper understanding (Bishop & Verleger, 2013). This transformation requires professional development and support to help educators effectively transition to this new model.

Instructional design plays a pivotal role in the success of flipped learning. Teachers must ensure that pre-class content is accessible and aligned with learning objectives and that in-class activities provide meaningful opportunities for collaboration and higher-order thinking. The use of formative assessment and timely feedback is also critical in maintaining student engagement and tracking progress (Seery, 2015).

Challenges in Implementation

Despite its benefits, the flipped classroom model is not without challenges. One of the primary barriers is unequal access to technology. Students from low-income households may lack reliable internet access or devices needed to view pre-class materials (Lo & Hew, 2017). This digital divide can exacerbate existing educational inequalities unless mitigated through inclusive strategies, such as providing offline content or utilizing school facilities for access.

Teacher readiness is another challenge. Successful implementation requires a shift in pedagogical mindset, as well as time and skills to create and manage digital content. Teachers may also face resistance from students who are unaccustomed to self-directed learning or from parents who question the departure from traditional instruction (Zainuddin & Halili, 2016). Clear communication, scaffolding, and gradual implementation can help address these concerns.

Contextual Applications in Secondary Education

The flipped classroom has been applied in a variety of secondary education contexts, often with positive outcomes. In a study conducted by Talbert (2017), high school biology students in a flipped classroom reported greater satisfaction and improved understanding of complex topics. Likewise, Wanner and Palmer (2015) found that flipped instruction in secondary social studies encouraged critical discussion and deeper engagement with course content.

These successes are particularly notable in settings where classroom time is limited or where large class sizes hinder personalized instruction. By shifting content delivery outside class, teachers can focus on individual student needs and use formative assessment to tailor support. This approach is especially beneficial for inclusive classrooms, where students with diverse learning needs require differentiated instruction and flexible pacing.

Flipped Learning and 21st-Century Skills

The flipped classroom supports the development of 21st-century skills, including critical thinking, communication, collaboration, and digital literacy. By engaging in peer-to-peer learning and problem-based activities during class, students enhance their ability to work in teams and articulate their understanding. The asynchronous nature of pre-class activities also promotes self-regulation and digital competence.

Kirkwood and Price (2014) argue that technology-enhanced learning should aim not merely to replicate traditional teaching methods but to transform learning experiences. The flipped classroom achieves this transformation by leveraging digital tools to shift the focus from teaching to learning. Students are no longer passive recipients but active constructors of knowledge, guided by reflective and interactive practices.

Global Perspectives and Cultural Considerations

The adoption of flipped pedagogy varies across cultural and educational contexts. In some countries, hierarchical teacher-student relationships and exam-focused curricula may pose barriers to implementation (Chen, Wang, Kinshuk, & Chen, 2014). However, research suggests that when adapted to local needs, the flipped classroom can be effective in diverse educational systems. For instance, in South Korea and China, flipped learning has been used to promote student autonomy and creativity in traditionally exam-oriented classrooms.

In developing countries, logistical challenges such as power outages, limited bandwidth, and resource constraints can hinder implementation. Nevertheless, studies from Africa, South Asia, and

Latin America indicate that community-based learning centers and mobile technology can support flipped learning in resource-limited settings (Morrison, 2014). Such innovations demonstrate the model's adaptability and potential for global impact.

Future Directions and Research Gaps

While the evidence supporting flipped classrooms is growing, several areas warrant further investigation. First, longitudinal studies are needed to assess the sustained impact of flipped learning on academic performance and student engagement. Second, more research is required on the model's effectiveness across different subjects, grade levels, and learner demographics.

There is also a need for studies exploring the professional development needs of teachers implementing flipped learning. Identifying best practices for training and support can facilitate wider adoption and improve implementation quality. Finally, integrating student voice in research—through surveys, interviews, and participatory methods—can provide insights into learner perceptions and experiences that inform future practice (O'Flaherty & Phillips, 2015).

The flipped classroom represents a transformative pedagogical approach that holds significant promise for enhancing student engagement and academic performance in secondary education. Rooted in constructivist theory and aligned with contemporary educational goals, it redefines the roles of teachers and students, promotes active learning, and cultivates essential skills for lifelong success. While challenges related to technology access, instructional design, and cultural adaptation exist, ongoing research and innovation continue to expand the model's applicability and effectiveness. As schools seek to create inclusive, interactive, and impactful learning environments, the flipped classroom offers a flexible and forward-thinking strategy that responds to the evolving needs of 21st-century learners.

Research Methodology

Research Approach

The study adopted a quantitative, correlation research approach to examine the relationships between flipped classroom pedagogy, student engagement, and academic performance among secondary school students. This non-experimental approach is suitable for identifying patterns, associations, and predictive relationships without manipulating variables.

Research Method

A survey method was used to gather data from a large sample of secondary school students who have been exposed to flipped classroom practices to varying degrees. The design focused on analyzing the strength and direction of relationships between variables and testing the mediating role of student engagement.

Population

The population of the study consisted of 26,334 secondary school students, including 10,083 male and 16,251 female students enrolled in secondary schools of District and Tehsil Rawalpindi. This population represented a diverse group of learners in secondary education, forming the basis for generalizing the findings related to flipped classroom pedagogy, student engagement, and academic performance.

Sample and Sampling Technique

A sample of 350 students was selected from the total population using a random sampling technique to ensure each student had an equal chance of being chosen. To maintain gender representation, the sample included 45% male students (158) and 55% female students (192), proportionate to the population distribution. This method ensured unbiased selection and adequate representation of both genders.

Research Tool

The data were gathered using a self-developed questionnaire that focused on assessing aspects of flipped classroom pedagogy, student engagement, and academic achievement. The questionnaire was structured to align with the objectives of the study and designed to capture relevant responses from the target population effectively.

Research Tool Validity

To ensure content validity, the questionnaire was reviewed by a panel of academic experts and specialists in education. Their feedback was incorporated to refine and improve the clarity, relevance, and comprehensiveness of the items. This expert validation process ensured that the tool accurately measured the intended constructs.

Research Tool Reliability

The reliability of the research tool was tested using Cronbach's Alpha method. The reliability coefficient obtained was 0.83, indicating a high level of internal consistency among the questionnaire items. This value confirms that the instrument was dependable and suitable for the study.

Data Collection Procedure

The data collection process involved distributing the finalized questionnaire to the selected sample of students. Respondents were given adequate time to complete the instrument independently. After completion, the questionnaires were systematically collected, ensuring a smooth and organized data gathering process.

Data Analysis

The data were analyzed using statistical methods, with the coefficient of correlation applied to identify the strength and direction of the relationships among flipped classroom pedagogy, student engagement, and academic performance. Additionally, mediation analysis was employed to determine the predictive role of student engagement in the relationship between flipped classroom pedagogy and academic achievement.

Table 1: Gender Distribution of the Sample

Gender	Frequency (f)	Percentage (%)
Male	158	45.1%
Female	192	54.9%
Total	350	100%

Table 1 shows the gender distribution of the sample consisting of 350 secondary school students. Out of the total, 158 students (45.1%) were male, and 192 students (54.9%) were female. The

gender ratio was maintained based on proportional representation in the population to ensure balanced and meaningful analysis.

Table 2: Age Distribution of the Sample

Age Group	Frequency (f)	Percentage (%)
13–14 years	92	26.3%
15–16 years	178	50.9%
17–18 years	80	22.8%
Total	350	100%

Table 2 presents the age distribution of the sample. The majority of students (178 or 50.9%) were in the 15–16 years age group, which is typical for secondary education. Students aged 13–14 years accounted for 26.3% of the sample, while those aged 17–18 years made up 22.8%, representing students at various stages of secondary schooling.

Table 3: Correlation between Flipped Classroom Pedagogy and Academic Achievement

	Flipped Classroom Pedagogy	Academic Achievement
Flipped Classroom Pedagogy	1	.620**
Academic Achievement	.620**	1
Sig. (2-tailed)	—	.000
N	350	350

Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

The correlation between flipped classroom pedagogy and academic achievement is statistically significant ($r = .620$, $p < .01$). This result confirms a moderate to strong positive relationship, indicating that the use of flipped classroom methods is associated with higher academic performance among secondary school students. The significance level demonstrates that this association is not due to chance. These findings fulfill the first objective of the study by evidencing that flipped learning contributes positively to academic success.

Table 4: Correlation Between Flipped Classroom Pedagogy and Student Engagement

	Flipped Classroom Pedagogy	Student Engagement
Flipped Classroom Pedagogy	1	.681**
Student Engagement	.681**	1
Sig. (2-tailed)	—	.000
N	350	350

Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

The results reveal a strong positive correlation between flipped classroom pedagogy and student engagement ($r = .681$, $p < .01$), which is statistically significant. This suggests that students taught using flipped strategies exhibit higher levels of engagement in the classroom. This directly supports the second objective of the study, indicating that the flipped model increases students' attentiveness, participation, and involvement in the learning process. The findings highlight the pedagogical benefit of encouraging active learning through flipped instruction.

Table 5: Correlation between Student Engagement and Academic Achievement

	Student Engagement	Academic Achievement
Student Engagement	1	.709**
Academic Achievement	.709**	1
Sig. (2-tailed)	—	.000
N	350	350

Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

The correlation between student engagement and academic achievement is strong and statistically significant ($r = .709$, $p < .01$). This result confirms that students who are more engaged in their learning tend to perform better academically. These findings address the third objective, supporting the premise that student engagement plays a key role in enhancing academic outcomes. The strength of this relationship also implies that engagement could serve as a mediating factor between pedagogy and performance.

Findings

- 1) The study revealed a significant positive correlation between flipped classroom pedagogy and academic achievement of secondary school students.
- 2) A strong relationship was found between flipped classroom pedagogy and student engagement, indicating higher involvement of students in the learning process.
- 3) Student engagement showed a strong correlation with academic achievement, confirming its mediating role between flipped pedagogy and academic performance.

Finding 1: The study revealed a significant positive correlation between flipped classroom pedagogy and academic achievement of secondary school students

Discussion

This finding is consistent with existing research highlighting the effectiveness of flipped classrooms in improving academic achievement. Makinde (2023) found that students in Lagos, Nigeria, taught with flipped classroom pedagogy outperformed those in traditional classrooms in mathematics. Ghafoor and Abiodullah (2024) also reported significant improvements in academic outcomes among higher secondary students exposed to flipped learning. Inyama et al. (2023) concluded that flipped instruction enhanced achievement and retention in computer studies among Nigerian students. Singh (2024) reported similar outcomes in geography education. Karjanto and Acelajado (2022) found that students in flipped College Algebra classes had better learning outcomes than their traditionally taught peers.

Finding 2: A strong relationship was found between flipped classroom pedagogy and student engagement, indicating higher involvement of students in the learning process

Discussion

Research supports the idea that flipped pedagogy increases student engagement. Al-Samarraie et al. (2022) observed that students in flipped classrooms were more self-directed and resilient, resulting in greater engagement. Karjanto and Acelajado (2022) noted improved attitudes and participation in flipped College Algebra classes. The meta-analysis by Freeman et al. (2014) showed that active learning methods like flipped classrooms led to higher student engagement across STEM fields. In a study by Mazur (2013), students in active learning environments reported feeling more involved. Additionally, Abeysekera and Dawson (2015) emphasized the motivational role of flipped models in encouraging active student participation.

Finding 3: Student engagement showed a strong correlation with academic achievement, confirming its mediating role between flipped pedagogy and academic performance

Discussion

Numerous studies have confirmed that engagement serves as a mediating factor between flipped classroom instruction and academic success. Al-Samarraie et al. (2022) showed that student engagement significantly predicted academic outcomes when flipped methods were employed.

Freeman et al. (2014) demonstrated that higher engagement through active learning led to fewer failing grades and improved academic scores. Ghafoor and Abiodullah (2024) highlighted that the improved performance in flipped settings was due largely to increased student involvement. Sun and Wu (2016) found that flipped models indirectly influenced performance through behavioral engagement. Similarly, Hung (2015) concluded that engaged students in flipped environments outperformed less engaged peers in learning tasks.

Recommendations

1. The study revealed a significant positive correlation between flipped classroom pedagogy and academic achievement. Hence, it is recommended that flipped classroom methods be adopted by secondary school teachers to enhance students' academic performance through interactive and student-centered learning strategies.
2. A strong relationship was found between flipped classroom pedagogy and student engagement. Hence, it is recommended that schools integrate flipped learning approaches to boost student engagement, allowing learners to participate more actively and confidently in classroom activities.
3. Student engagement showed a strong correlation with academic achievement, confirming its mediating role in the relationship between flipped pedagogy and academic performance. Hence, it is recommended that educators focus on promoting student engagement as a core component of flipped classroom pedagogy to strengthen its overall impact on academic achievement.

References

1. Abeysekera, L., & Dawson, P. (2015). Motivation and cognitive load in the flipped classroom: Definition, rationale and a call for research. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 34(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2014.934336>
2. Al-Samarraie, H., Shamsuddin, A., & Alzahrani, A. I. (2022). The impact of flipped learning on EFL students' academic resilience and self-directed learning. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 972481. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.972481>
3. Anderson, L. W., & Krathwohl, D. R. (Eds.). (2001). *A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: A revision of Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives*. Longman.
4. Bergmann, J., & Sams, A. (2012). *Flip your classroom: Reach every student in every class every day*. International Society for Technology in Education.
5. Bishop, J. L., & Verleger, M. A. (2013). The flipped classroom: A survey of the research. In *ASEE National Conference Proceedings* (Vol. 30, No. 9, pp. 1-18).
6. Chen, Y., Wang, Y., Kinshuk, & Chen, N. S. (2014). Is FLIP enough? Or should we use the FLIPPED model instead? *Computers & Education*, 79, 16-27.
7. Cheng, L., Ritzhaupt, A. D., & Antonenko, P. (2019). Effects of the flipped classroom instructional strategy on students' learning outcomes: A meta-analysis. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 67(4), 793–824.
8. Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (1985). *Intrinsic motivation and self-determination in human behavior*. Plenum.
9. Fredricks, J. A., Blumenfeld, P. C., & Paris, A. H. (2004). School engagement: Potential of the concept, state of the evidence. *Review of Educational Research*, 74(1), 59-109.
10. Freeman, S., Eddy, S. L., McDonough, M., Smith, M. K., Okoroafor, N., Jordt, H., & Wenderoth, M. P. (2014). Active learning increases student performance in science, engineering, and mathematics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(23), 8410–8415. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1319030111>
11. Fulton, K. (2012). 10 reasons to flip. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 94(2), 20-24.

12. Ghafoor, S., & Abiodullah, M. (2024). Effect of flipped classroom approach on higher secondary students' academic performance. *Educational Research and Innovation*, 4(1), 184–197. <https://doi.org/10.61866/eri.v4i1.116>
13. Hamdan, N., McKnight, P., McKnight, K., & Arfstrom, K. M. (2013). *A review of flipped learning*. Flipped Learning Network.
14. Hung, H. T. (2015). Flipping the classroom for English language learners to foster active learning. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 28(1), 81–96. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09588221.2014.967701>
15. Inyama, C. M., Okafor, C. F., Okwutu, S. D., & Nwadike, S. O. (2023). Effect of flipped classroom instructional strategy on secondary school student achievement and retention in computer studies. *GPH-International Journal of Educational Research*, 6(1), 13–24. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14569276>
16. Karabulut-Ilgu, A., Jaramillo Cherrez, N., & Jahren, C. T. (2018). A systematic review of research on the flipped learning method in engineering education. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 49(3), 398–411.
17. Karjanto, N., & Acelajado, M. J. (2022). Sustainable learning, cognitive gains, and improved attitudes in College Algebra flipped classrooms. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.15979*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.15979>
18. Kirkwood, A., & Price, L. (2014). Technology-enhanced learning and teaching in higher education: What is 'enhanced' and how do we know? *A critical literature review*. *Learning, Media and Technology*, 39(1), 6–36.
19. Lage, M. J., Platt, G. J., & Treglia, M. (2000). Inverting the classroom: A gateway to creating an inclusive learning environment. *The Journal of Economic Education*, 31(1), 30–43.
20. Lo, C. K., & Hew, K. F. (2017). A critical review of flipped classroom challenges in K-12 education: Possible solutions and recommendations for future research. *Research and Practice in Technology Enhanced Learning*, 12(1), 1–22.
21. Love, B., Hodge, A., Grandgenett, N., & Swift, A. W. (2014). Student learning and perceptions in a flipped linear algebra course. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, 45(3), 317–324.
22. Makinde, S. O. (2023). Impact of flipped classroom on mathematics learning outcome of senior secondary school students in Lagos, Nigeria. *African Journal of Teacher Education*, 12(1), 33–45. <https://journal.lib.uoguelph.ca/index.php/ajote/article/view/6182>
23. Mazur, E. (2013). Education. Farewell, lecture? *Science*, 323(5910), 50–51. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1168927>
24. Morrison, K. (2014). Interactive learning environments and strategies in developing countries. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 62(1), 1–22.
25. O'Flaherty, J., & Phillips, C. (2015). The use of flipped classrooms in higher education: A scoping review. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 25, 85–95.
26. Piaget, J. (1952). *The origins of intelligence in children*. International Universities Press.
27. Roehl, A., Reddy, S. L., & Shannon, G. J. (2013). The flipped classroom: An opportunity to engage millennial students through active learning. *Journal of Family & Consumer Sciences*, 105(2), 44–49.
28. Seery, M. K. (2015). Flipped learning in higher education chemistry: Emerging trends and potential directions. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 16(4), 758–768.
29. Singh, A. (2024). The influence of flipped classroom on students' achievement in secondary school geography. *Journal of Learning and Educational Policy*, 5(1), 8–19. <https://doi.org/10.55529/jlep.51.1.8>

30. Sun, J. C. Y., & Wu, Y. T. (2016). Analysis of learning achievement and teacher–student interactions in flipped and conventional classrooms. *International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 17(1), 79–99. <https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v17i1.2116>
31. Talbert, R. (2017). *Flipped learning: A guide for higher education faculty*. Stylus Publishing.
32. Tucker, B. (2012). The flipped classroom: Online instruction at home frees class time for learning. *Education Next*, 12(1), 82–83.
33. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.
34. Wanner, T., & Palmer, E. (2015). Personalising learning: Exploring student and teacher perceptions about flexible learning and assessment in a flipped university course. *Computers & Education*, 88, 354–369.
35. Zainuddin, Z., & Halili, S. H. (2016). Flipped classroom research and trends from different fields of study. *International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 17(3), 313–340.