



The Architecture of Perceptions: Media Narrative Building, Information Control and Social Understanding in the Historical Perspective

Dr. Ahmad Raza Khan¹ & Hafsa Mustafa²

¹Assistant Professor/In-charge, Department of International Relations, Government College University Lahore, Email: ahmadraza@qcu.edu.pk

²M.Phil. Scholar, Department of Political Science, Government College University Lahore, Email: hafsa.mustafa40@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received: January 25, 2026
Revised: March 02, 2026
Accepted: March 18, 2026
Available Online: March 30, 2026

Keywords:

Narrative Construction, Framing
Information, Public Opinion,
Propaganda.

Corresponding Author:

Dr. Ahmad Raza Khan

Email:

ahmadraza@qcu.edu.pk

ABSTRACT

One source that has historically played a central role in building narratives that influence public opinion, shaping public perceptions and defining social realities, is media. This research digs down to analyze role of media in narrative construction and its effect on social understanding through a qualitative analysis of selected historical case studies. Drawing upon secondary data collected from academic books, peer-reviewed journals, governmental publications, historical archives, think-tank reports, and human rights watchdog organizations, the study examines how different media systems and communication strategies have been employed to mobilize population, frame information, control public discourse and legitimize policies. The research explores six crucial case studies spanning different historical and political contexts. The research applies comparative analysis to identify recurring patterns of framing, agenda-setting, information filtering, propaganda and narrative reinforcement. The findings suggest that media doesn't function merely to channelize information but also as an active agent in constructing social meanings and influence public consciousness. The study contributes to a deeper understanding of the relationship between media, power, and public perception in both traditional and contemporary communication environments.



Introduction

A story that is written or told to someone, encompassing great details is termed as “narrative”. A narrative can be of different kinds; it can be in the form of prose or poetry, song or dance, debate or theater. It is an account or description of certain phenomenon, thought, mindset or action, manifested through multiple means, influencing the minds of people in shaping opinions. It may

include a whole, partial or made-up story, based upon truth, half-truth or lies to project the thought process of people into a particular direction. The Cambridge Dictionary described the narrative as a specific way to explain and understand the events (Cambridge Dictionary, 2025)

They are not built in a moment or day rather they take years or sometimes decades to get developed. For instance, a common man talking about the labor union in his district or any other person giving arguments to explain his affiliation with a particular political party, these narratives are not formed overnight, rather they are the result of a continuous process and reproduced into actions. Even a child aspiring to opt a certain profession when he will grow up takes inspiration and information from a particular source(s). This is how a narrative works.

There can be a number of sources comprising common set of ideas and information spring to build a narrative. The most common tool of information is media which evolved remarkably with the passage of time. The evolution of media from its accessibility through wires to technologically advanced gadgets in every person's pockets has changed the way people communicate, interact and share opinions. There is always an evident or concealed objective behind narrative building in order to achieve certain goal, making the probability advantageous in one case or detrimental in other. Even the smallest details and nitty gritty matter, involving the screen play, audio-video effects, even a question mark and exclamation are taken into account to shape opinion in particular direction. These distinctive features have made the media a primary source of knowledge and information influencing people and their opinions. In the modern world, social and political entities use social media as a strategic leverage to further enhance their agenda in the mainstream. The narratives are built in such a way which often transcend the logic and connect with masses at emotional level. Taking example of beauty brands and cosmetic industry, which inculcate color discrimination in society in a very subtle and polite way. Similarly, political, social, religious or cultural propaganda are perpetuated on social media in a smooth yet wild manner to initiate or exacerbate a conflict. Moreover, in today's advanced world, "Net Troll Strategies" are applied which project information, misinformation and disinformation through comment section towards an insignificant but sensational campaign to divert attention of masses from a serious concern to a trivial topic. States use this technique in order to distract people from concerning issues like war or domestic challenges, to gain a strategic advantage. "Distraction" is a maneuver used since Greek amphitheaters and Roman chariot race. Information explosion in contemporary era, has given rise to dopamine generation and keyboard warriors who are so much immersed in tit-for-tat entanglements and ridiculously exaggerated battles on social media, even they deviate from the real issues. However, on the positive side, in the past many crimes remained uncovered and unreported due to lack of sources to find them. However, the current advancement in media has uncovered more instances which remained hidden earlier (Taif, 2022).

Use of Media in Political Narrative Building and its Influence on Social Understanding

"In war the first casualty is truth" - George Orwell

The main goal of the media is to educate people and provide them with knowledge and awareness. No doubt, it has played a vital role in spreading healthy knowledge, but on the flip side, sometimes it becomes the source of conflict and chaos generating wild amalgamation of information and disinformation. It diverts from its purpose to aware the people rather become a tool to control them. Narrative building through media has such an impact on human attitude, behavior, belief and perception that what an individual sees and hears, he tends to react in the same way. George Gerbner and Larry Gross suggest in the "Cultivation Theory" that the people who absorb more media, are more influenced, because media has a persuasive power. It is similar to frame a

situation or to paint a picture in a particular way (Davie, 2011). Tides of geopolitical events are turned based upon media strategies to mold the stance into particular direction. Media's role in narrative building is not a new phenomenon. History is replete with such events where media played a transformative role. This can be better understood through following events, case studies and their respective predominant effects.

White Man's Burden: Legitimizing Colonialism Using Media

The European powers expanded their empires to unprecedented levels across the globe during 19th and 20th centuries, an era in which power consolidation was done in Asia and South America along with "Scramble for Africa". The lure of economic prowess, belief in racial superiority, geopolitical ambitions had driven them towards audacious expansionism which needed not only military might but also ideological justification (Allsobrook & Boisen, 2016). Hence, the colonial powers utilized education and media as main tools to legitimize their illegitimate rule under the garb of 'civilizing mission'. They generated a 'meta-narrative' of superiority of Western civilization and impregnated it in the society by inculcating the notion of responsibility of 'White Men' to embark on a mission of civilizing the so-called barbaric nations. Presenting this mission as sacrosanct, they fostered the sense of cultural hierarchy and justified the exploitation of colonized people. Educational system was shaped in a way that brought about indoctrination of Western culture, language and values into colonized people and it was reinforced through visual arts, literature and media. They planned out their civilizing mission by presenting their rule as a benevolent endeavor and showed themselves as benefactors of an inferior race in order to 'uplift' the native populace (Jain, 2024). The "Mission Civilisatrice" (French: Civilizing Mission) is a stark evidence of their outwardly ostentatious enlightening objectives blemished with inward narcissism of mindset and attitude towards the races they consider lower to them.

They framed colonialism as civilizing mission using media and literature, depicting indigenous masses as barbarians and in thirst of guidance, civility and reforms which could only be quenched through Western civilized masters. For instance, the well-known yet notorious poem "The White Man's Burden" by Rudyard Kipling is the manifestation of the notions of Western superiority over other races (Kipling, 2021). It served as a strong rhetoric tool, gaslighting the colonized that they were in need of process of civilization and empowerment, legitimizing their imperial interests in the disguise of moral imperatives. The delusional white supremacy was imposed on non-whites through indoctrination of educational system swathed with demeaning attitude towards indigenous knowledge, history, language and tradition. The process of 'Englishization' was a part of colonial meta-narrative, eroding legacy and significance of native languages rendering them inferior in the collective mindset of people. The language of the colonial masters was labelled as language of superiors, a symbol of refinement and sophistication.

Media in its several forms played the role of primary vehicle suppressing the right of self-determination of indigenous people and obscured the anti-colonial narratives in order to legitimize the brutal realities of colonialism. Scramble for Africa is the stark example of media-driven legitimization. The media reports presented sensationalized and frequently exaggerated imagery of "unexplored" continents swarmed with barbarian tribes and wild beasts awaiting messianic hand of European governance. Media heavily publicized the encounters of explorers like Henry Stanley with the African tribal leaders and framed it as a "heroic rescue" of a continent at the verge of civilizational downfall. Correspondingly, the exploitation and violence being a norm of such explorations, were palpably glossed over and replaced by notions of progress and discovery (Nzemeke, 1989). Furthermore, European interventions were justified by missionaries societies propagating their ideologies in the guise of most devout religious imperatives. Media portrayal of acts of resistance or indigenous uprisings as irrational acts of fanatics against order and

development consolidated the idea “nobles vs savages” in the historical narratives, which served to justify oppression including punitive expeditions and massacres. For instance, the Amritsar Massacre, known as “Jallianwala Bagh tragedy” of 1919, in British-colonized sub-continent, witnessed diverse portrayals in British and Indian media. The former presented it as a “necessary step” to quell rebellion and restore order by downplaying the atrocity while the later highlighted the injustice and cruelty of the brutal colonial masters and generated anti-colonial narrative among the indigenous masses (Williams et al., 2024).

In addition, literature played a vital role in framing colonialism a progressive agenda and moral duty, particularly the novels like “King Solomon’s Mines” of 1885 by Rider Haggard enchanted the readers with bold and mighty European expeditioners exploring the exotic lands and presented the native population as primitive noble savages in want of “guidance”. Additionally, the travelogues presented the similar picture, ostensibly innocuous entertainment subtly covered European superiority romanticized with the notion of an uncharted world in the wait to be discovered by some benevolent civilized nation, which became a source to bring light to dark corners of the world. Meanwhile, the early forms of cinema also set the perceptions in certain direction in order to reinforce stereotypes fostering the pervasive discourse of civilizing mission by glorifying colonial exploits in an overt and subtle manner. Even the editorial caricatures presented non-European masses in grotesque display savage, indolent or backward, in contrast with the industrious, civilized and dignified European people. The dehumanization of the colonized was carried out through this kind of visual rhetoric which presented the colonial exploitation morally less reprehensible and even essential for their own “development” (Sebring, 2015).

Installing Propaganda: Woodrow Wilson’s Creel Commission during WWI

The World War I (WWI), changed the dynamics not only in global political order but also in the art of propaganda. The US shed off its policy of isolationism and entered in war in 1917. For this paradigm shift, it felt the need to persuade the public to support its war efforts either by rational tactics or by war sensationalism. For this purpose, Committee on Public Information (CPI) was established by President Woodrow Wilson; named after its vibrant chairman, George Creel, the Committee is famously known as “Creel Commission”. It basically aimed at shaping mass perceptions using sophisticated techniques of installing propaganda by carefully designed manipulation of numerous media platforms in order to fuel a climate of war hysteria (Noam Chomsky, 2005). The mandate of Creel Commission was to shape public opinion with the account of Wilson’s long-term post-war world. Realizing the various media landscapes, the Commission adopted a multi-pronged approach, leveraging the whole lot from conventional magazines and newspapers to emerging forms like film and public speaking. This all-out and wide-ranging approach left no room for dissent (Hehman et al., 2025). The CPI primarily targeted magazines and newspapers to spread its influence i.e. the Commission distributed more than 6,000 news items and press releases, mostly pre-written and premeditated to be digestible for editors without difficulty. These releases galvanized public fury and sentiments of retribution against Germans who were portrayed as brutal and savage in their war tactics. For instance, the Commission deeply entrenched the idea among the public that the German army is involved in brutally spearing the heads of Belgian babies and alleged that they are deliberately destroying the cultural and heritage sites as war crimes. However, most of these claims were either exaggerated or sometimes entirely fabricated (Hehman et al., 2025). The compliant press with sensationalized reporting amplified the fear of existential threat that American administration perceived only be countered through their intervention in war. Resultantly, a dramatic shift occurred when the earlier public skepticism transformed into over-the-board patriotism. Besides this, the Commission very tactfully used visual media to arouse emotional responses. A large number of posters were churned out, displaying powerful slogans and fiery imagery. For instance, the iconic posters featuring Uncle

Sam as a powerful traditional representative figure of the American nation, directly evoking the viewers saying "I Want You for US Army" engrained a sense of national cause and urgency to prove individual's patriotism. While, the others described Germans as demonic creatures in order to dehumanize the rival (Flagg & Montgomery, 2015). Thus, these visuals brought the war from mere being a geopolitical battle to the extent of war between good and evil.

Additionally, the nascent film industry established by the CPI particularly directed to glorify the US soldiers and malign the Germans, e.g. the films like "The Kaiser, the Beast of Berlin" (1918) displayed monstrous and highly exaggerated portrayals of German military and leadership, intended to evoke loathing and dread towards them. The emotionally charged and hysterical imprints showcased through cinema to millions of Americans strengthened the government's narrative. Nonetheless, the most effective and transformative strategies applied by the CPI was the deployment of "Four Minute Men". This massive network of more than 75,000 volunteers was deployed to deliver short, concise patriotic speeches on topics relevant to war in public settings such as churches, movie theaters and community gatherings. These videos, mostly delivered without prior intimation before services or during intermissions, took advantage of the captive audiences. Such kind of direct and personal method of mass communication was immeasurably effective form of propaganda in instilling fear of German saboteurs and fostering communal purpose and shared responsibility to protect the country by supporting American cause to enter into war. The snowballing outcome of the Creel Commission's widespread media campaigns was the effective installation of a pervasive pro-war propaganda. The CPI successfully consolidated the public opinion by war-hysterical events, systematic control of the flow of information and dehumanizing the enemy. Such unprecedented level of propaganda resulted into climate of penetrating nationalism, where dissent was usually equated with infidelity and treason (Cull, 2012).

Gatekeeping: Narrative Building during Nazi Regime

Adolf Hitler led Nazi regime's rise to power in Germany in 1933 considered as a dark chapter in human history, was characterized by harsh realities of systematic brutality and totalitarian control. The terrifying regime with its subsequent execution of its genocidal plan was marked by meticulously articulated propaganda machine, utilizing diverse media platforms to reinforce state-build narrative through mastery of gatekeeping techniques. The Nazi regime clearly grasped the idea of suppressing dissent and controlling information as a paramount to consolidate its radical ideology. Hitler left no stone unturned and appointed Joseph Goebbels as the architect of "Ministry of Propaganda" where every information had to pass certain check points, maneuvered and manipulated, prone and aligned with Nazi ideology and then allowed to reach the public. Goebbels often bragged about his view that "the bigger the lie, the more people will believe it" and by the repetition of a lie makes it more believable, consolidating an "illusion of truth" (Richard, 2020). This established a systematic form of gatekeeping, where Goebbels and his propaganda machine decided what was to be disseminated, how it was to be presented, and what was to be blocked. At that time, radio was a nascent yet powerful medium, and key to Nazi propaganda apparatus. To make it accessible to millions of people, the sale of low-priced "People's Receivers" or "Volksempfänger" was aggressively promoted, propagating regime's announcements. Hitler's speeches and state-controlled news broadcasts. Those who still unacquainted to radios were exposed to Nazi Party's messages through public loudspeakers installed for this purpose at every factory and town square. This inescapable, consistent and direct auditory bombardment was central in establishing Nazi ideology, presenting Hitler as ultimate leader of charisma and a perfect personification of nation's aspirations (Hanners, 2021).

Furthermore, Nazis were aware of the sentimental nature of cinema affecting directly the emotions of people, hence they utilized filmography as a powerful tool to inculcate their propaganda in the guise of innocuous entertainment that actually implanted Nazi values in a subtle way. Stereotypical movies such as “The Eternal Jew” (1940), were blatantly antisemitic, portraying Jewish people as parasites and vermin, in that way dehumanizing them and cultivating the way to their subsequent horrific persecution (Facing History & Ourselves, 2022). Although, the press has remained a vibrant and diverse sector in German history, however, the Nazi regime utilized it as a central tool to mask information using sophisticated gatekeeping tactics and spread wishful information in compliance with Nazi ideology. Those journalists, news editors and writers who dared to challenge the status quo were either imprisoned or fled the country. Moreover, several newspapers were either acquired by regime-controlled entities or the other independent news outlets were forcefully shut down. While rest of the press was subjected to strict editorial guidelines and severe censorship, ensuring only positive imagery and news to be disseminated, suppressing dissenting and critical voices. The news content and headlines for the next day were decided at daily press conference at the Ministry of Propaganda, officially making the press a colossal mouthpiece for the Nazi party. Posters and pamphlets depicting Aryan figures idealized them and demonized the “intruders” of the state such as Romans, Communists and Jews. Enthusiastic rallies with fervent speeches, fiery slogans and zealous participants generated a sense of belonging and collective euphoria, reinvigorating the idea of integrated national community under Nazi leadership (Polk, 2016).

One of the chilling case studies is the “euthanasia program” surrounded by Nazi propaganda. Before the systematic annihilation of Jewish community, the regime initiated this program to kill disabled and mentally instable individuals. To achieve their objective, they used media as key tool to psychologically prepare public for this brutality. Movies such as “I Accuse” (1941) showed a wife suffering from draining sickness seeks death through "euthanasia". While the idea behind it was to garner sympathy for the “mercy killing” to normalize the dreadful concept of “lives unworthy of life”. More than that, the magazines and newspapers reinforced this idea by highlighting economic “burden” faced by society due to disabled people. Such type of careful narrative building through multi-pronged media platforms subtly desensitized a significant portion of the society (Weinberg & Friedlander, 1996). In essence, the spread of propaganda and narrative building through media control and gatekeeping resulted into multi-faceted consequences. It created a sense of national redemption by crediting Hitler as the savior of nation and only leader who could lead the country to the heights of glory. Secondly, it created a sense of racial superiority justifying the racial purity of Aryan race and fostered hatred towards other communities particularly accusing Jews as traitors. The regime consolidated its ideology through historical distortions, orchestrating pseudo-scientific theories, constant vilification and over-shadowing critical thinking and individuality in favor of collective submission.

Manufacturing Consent: Engineering Opinion during Cold War

The Cold war is defined by a geopolitical and ideological struggle between the two major powers comprising of the United States and its allies i.e. the Western Bloc and the Soviet Union and its satellite states i.e. the Eastern Bloc. It was more a war for minds and hearts than mere a military standoff. In this long-drawn-out conflict, the notion of "manufacturing consent" i.e. the concept that mass media can be utilized to engineer public opinion to legitimize the interests and influence of powerful elites, found its overwhelming expression (Chomsky & Herman, 1988). A number of media platforms were meticulously utilized by both the powers to consolidate their narratives, justify respective actions and demonize the opposition in order to shape the global political landscape. In case of the USA, the media served as a major instrument in promoting the notions of individual freedom, superiority of free market in the form of capitalism and over-appreciating the

merits of democracy, while at the same time painting communism as an inherently evil force with authoritarian, monolithic and oppressive tendencies. At domestic level, narratives were implanted through the different campaigns to inculcate paranoia of communist intrusions, notoriously dubbed as "Red Scare". This ideology was deliberately made suspicious and horrific through videos like "How to Spot a Communist" shown at educational institutions, and promoting films such as "I Married a Communist" (1949), which contributed to a prevalent sense of fear and skepticism (Burns, 1998). Such kind of media onslaught reinforced the political firestorm in such a way that dissent in ideologies, particularly socialism, was considered by American public as a threat to their traditional values and national security. Consequently, this justified curbing the civil liberties at home, foreign interventions and mammoth military spendings strengthening military-industrial complex.

Beside using anti-communism as a control mechanism and national religion at domestic level, the US employed a wide-ranging network of media outlets in order to counter Soviet authority and propagate its narrative globally. In order to project the ideals of freedom and democracy to undermine the Soviet influence, the broadcast news of Voice of America (VOA) and Radio Free Europe (RFE) impregnated anti-communist biases. Meanwhile, cultural programs boasting pro-Western content were promoted in Eastern bloc states, highlighting Western economic prosperity and pointing fingers on human rights issues of communist regimes. For instance, the West-induced Iron Curtain was used in propaganda efforts and soft power approaches through symbolizing jazz music as the indication of cultural vibrancy and American freedom.

On the other hand, the Soviet Union employed in the same way overpowering and controlled media apparatus in order to legitimize its ideology of communism. It deplored the West as exploitative and imperialist with the interminable thirst to hegemonize the globe, while portrayed the USSR as a champion of equality and social justice. The narratives inculcated by state-controlled newspapers such as "Izvestiya" and "Pravda" pointed out inherent flaws in capitalistic societies in the form of social injustices and economic inequalities and highlighted Soviet achievements in space, industry, science and technology (Trang & Phuc, 2024). For instance, the triumphant achievements of Yuri Gagarin's space flight and Sputnik's launch were presented as the manifestations of advancement of Soviet socio-economic system. In addition, visual media also supported Soviet propaganda with posters showcasing vigilant cosmonauts adding their part to scientific prowess and sturdy farmers and workers working hard for collective prosperity of the communist society. Media also portrayed caricatures of American leaders e.g. Uncle Sam as the power-hungry agents of capitalism and warmongers with dollars in hand and exploiting the working class in the disguise of development. The purpose of this agenda setting was to denounce Western imperialist system and foster resentment towards the capitalist world.

Moreover, the Cuban Missile Crisis of 1962 is the most vivid example of media manipulation, when both superpowers involved in striking war of perceptions. The Soviet media deemed its actions justified by deploying missiles as defensive measure against the US who was acting as war jingoist, threatening the global peace by violating the sovereignty of Cuba. Conversely, the US media disseminated government directives, highlighting severe threat posed by Soviet weaponry in Cuba, and fueled fear among public by showing US cities in danger of direct attack, thus solidifying the firm stance of the then president Kennedy to apply naval blockade (Carpenter, 2022). Likewise, the concept of "manufacturing consent" demonstrated its limits when the prolonged war after Soviet invasion in Afghanistan in 1970s and 1980s couldn't sustain its particular narrative of Soviet's so-called mission to support a friendly socialist government against foreign-backed "bandits". As the war dragged on, the discontent among Soviet society exacerbated. On the other hand, the US and its allies portrayed Soviet invasion as its unravelling expansionist movement to control warm waters, justifying its aggressive efforts to disintegrate USSR.

Body-bag Effect: Vietnam War and Media

Vietnam war (1954-1975) often referred to as “First Television War” is a remarkable case to study the role of media in shaping public opinion, which eventually turned the course of war (Spector, 2016). It was an armed conflict in Vietnam, Cambodia and Laos, fought between North Vietnam and its Russo-China allies vs South Vietnam and its non-communist allies majorly the USA. This conflict became a subject of large-scale news coverage and triggered “body-bag effect” among the masses of the United States who pressurized the government to halt the war and withdraw the American troops from the war zone. Body-bag effect refers to stressful and negative psychological impacts on the people witnessing the increasing number of casualties of their soldiers during conflicts, which leads to sharp decline in the public opinion in support of that war. Media is the main tool which provides people direct access to the ongoing events of war. This term is often used in context of Vietnam war in which augmented number of casualties and live televised images of deaths and destruction stirred disillusionment among the masses (Raes et al., 2018). As the war extended and the conflict deepens, the number of journalists covering the events of war in the Indo-China region increased from 20 to 600 by 1968, reporting for all forms of US media. The transportation of newsmen was facilitated by Military Assistance Command Vietnam (MACV); hence, firsthand news and images were covered live on the American televisions, bringing events of war directly into American living rooms. Nonetheless, the proximity to the battlefield made the job quite riskier and around 60 journalists lost their lives. The war archives revealed that over two million civilians were killed on both sides while more than one million North Vietnamese fighters and 2,00,000 South Vietnamese soldiers died in the whole conflict. In addition, 58,000 casualties of American troops were reported, creating a horrific specter of “Vietnam syndrome” for the rest of the political and military decision makers of the US. It is widely known that uncensored coverage of Vietnam war is one of the most crucial reason generating “CNN Effect” among people who were awestruck by the horrors of war and abhorred the idea of sending American soldiers to be killed in fighting for a foreign land. The CNN Effect is widely studied phenomenon in political science which refers to increase in public pressure which compels governments and policymakers to take immediate decisions to resolve a highlighted issue. The pessimistic and skeptical tone of reportage influenced the public opinion immensely who demanded the decision makers to come out of war frenzy and seek peace (Bahador, 2007).

The major blow was “Tet Offensive” which brought the US military to its knees and they ended up into implementation of ‘Vietnamization Strategy’ which involved gradual withdrawal of the troops from the war zone and handing over authority and responsibility to government of South Vietnam (Office of the Historian, 2025). The Tet offensive had profound psychological influence on American Public which was shocked to see the surprise attacks of Viet Cong on several civilian and military targets resulting into multiple casualties of the US soldiers while repelling the offensive. Hence, it proved a turning point in Vietnam war, majorly overdriven by huge decline in public support. The “My Lai Massacre” of 1968 added further insult to injury, when the Charlie Company of US Army slaughtered more than 500 villagers including women and children of My Lai accusing them to be the members of Viet Cong fighters. A US military photographer Sgt. Ron Haeberle documented the tragic yet horrendous events of the day in his personal camera secretly and later on exposed the Charlie Company’s war crimes in Life magazine. The horror-littered photographs depicting bodies of women, children and infants in pool of blood galvanized the anti-war movements among people (Herring, 2001). Thousands of students, intellectuals, academicians and activists affected by mass casualties of civilians on both sides came out in mass protests against the US government, however, Henry Kissinger, advisor on foreign affairs suggested the US president to end the war through an ‘honorable’ settlement as the military victory of the USA seemed a distant dream. Eventually, a number of negotiations amid growing public pressure

resulted into American troops withdrawal, nonetheless the media coverage and most recognizable images of war left a lasting impact on the minds of people and political leadership in the form of Vietnam Syndrome.

Echo-Chamber Effect: Role of Media during Arab Spring

The Arab Spring was a wave of uprisings that swept the swaths of Middle East and North Africa (MENA) in 2010 and 2011, fueled by prevalent discontent with authoritarian regimes, corruption, poverty and unemployment. These protests, often involving demonstrations and civil unrest, aimed to overthrow long-standing leaders and establish more democratic governments. The contested legacy of these uprisings is conglomeration of instability and violence in some countries while transition towards democratic political system in others (Jamshīdī, 2014). Although, myriad of factors contributed these revolutions which stand out as pivotal moments in the contemporary history, but media particularly became a vital source to give leverage to this movement and heightened the resulting diversified narratives. The legacy of Arab Spring can be comprehended through the interplay between socio-political dynamics, vitality of different media handles and the resulting echo-chamber effect which played a crucial role during this regional movement and navigated the challenges of dissemination of information in largely interconnected world. Echo chamber effect refers to the exposure of individuals to the information which reinforces their existing views and beliefs, filtering nuanced understanding of conflicting or diversified opinions. This phenomenon usually results into less likely acceptance to alternative perspectives and fosters polarization (Putri et al., 2024).

Primarily, Al-Jazeera as a form of traditional media outlet played a key role in setting the stage for Arab Spring in a quite independent reporting in comparison to state-controlled media platforms and presented content critical to despotic and authoritarian regimes. The constant exposure to diverse narratives eroded the long-established legitimacy of autocracies, nurturing a sense of shared discontent and grievances all over the national borders. In Tunisia, the self-immolation of Mohamed Bouazizi galvanized the popular uprisings across the region. Nonetheless, new media outlets particularly the social media i.e. Twitter and Facebook revitalized the demonstration and proved to be a transformative element in organizing, mobilizing and disseminating live information, sidestepping the state censorship. For instance, in Egypt, people shared live-streamed videos of police brutality and it eventually produced rally-round-the-flag effect which helped to coordinate the demonstrations in Tahrir Square. Images, videos and information in bulk shared quickly and easily accelerated the uprisings in the form of shared grievances from a few to many, spreading like a wild fire. The "We Are All Khaled Said" Facebook page, dedicated to an Egyptian man beaten to death by police, became a powerful symbol of police brutality and a rallying point for dissent, demonstrating how social media could transform individual tragedies into collective calls for action (Main, 2015). However, during this time period, echo chamber effect created by rapid propagation of information cannot be ignored. Individuals unwary of herd psychology, tended to form self-reinforcing networks through following those who shared similar political views. The resulting group cohesion with efficient and refined organization tended to exclude the dissenting perspectives and amplified socio-political fragmentation in the form of digital segregation between secular and Islamist groups.

Furthermore, wide-ranging international and public observers were also affected by echo chamber effect due to enormous volume of information shared on social media, most of it unverified made it quite challenging to distinguish facts from rumors. The emotional resonance of many posts, often designed to galvanize support, further blurred the lines. It strengthened the confirmation biases of individuals of opposing sides by selectively consuming the information out of thick fog of perceptions. The resulting narrative from the Arab Spring, heavily influenced by media

exposure, became a complex tapestry of hope, triumph, disillusionment, and despair. On one side, Western media was shoving its unbridled optimism with the rhetoric of revival of democratic norms with the downfall of dictators calling it a "Facebook revolution" in the Middle East. On the other side, these seemingly ambitious narratives ignored the deep-rooted structural issues, the ideological cleavages and the autocratic roles of powerful elites within the protest movements themselves. As the Arab Spring transformed into civil wars, anarchy and in some cases reincarnation of authoritarian regimes, the shift in evolving narratives became more evident. The earlier optimism vanished and exposed region's fragility for the democratic transition. Meanwhile, media continued to play central role in determining evolving narratives sometimes fueling cynicism, skepticism and other times reinforcing fervor among the zealous activists. Moreover, the Syrian civil war presents a staggering example of echo chamber effect that how social media was instrumental in documenting atrocities and garnering international sympathy for the Syrian opposition. However, as the conflict became increasingly fragmented and external powers became involved, social media platforms also became battlegrounds for propaganda and misinformation. Different blocs and their followers created their own echo chambers, buttressing their narratives of the conflict and demonizing opposing opinions. This made it extremely problematic for outsiders, and even for those within the country, to form a coherent and objective understanding of the multi-layered conflict, further engraining fragmentations and impeding efforts towards resolution (King, 2025). Thus, media not only acted as a catalyst in the entire saga of Arab Spring but also became the cause of enormous bubble of misinformation and contradicting beliefs magnifying polarization, and eventually affecting the long-term outcomes of these transformative events.

Conclusion

This study demonstrates that media has consistently functioned as a powerful instrument of narrative construction, shaping public opinion and influencing social understanding across diverse historical contexts. Media functions to act as a powerful architect of public consciousness, framing issues, shaping ideas and ultimately building the narratives that define crises, conflicts and geopolitical wranglings. This role of media is not performed in vacuum, rather, the outlets operate within complicated ecosystems of ownership, ideological alignments, sponsors obligations, state influence and commercial interests. This amalgamation of miscellaneous factors compel the selection, presentation and interpretation of news. In the age of digital saturation and globalized information or more precisely, in the age of propaganda, the battle of control of narrative has become as critical as the physical battles on the ground. Through a qualitative analysis of colonial discourse, wartime propaganda, authoritarian information control, Cold War persuasion strategies, the Vietnam War, and the Arab Spring, the research identifies recurring mechanisms of framing, agenda-setting, gatekeeping, and information amplification. The findings reveal that media not only disseminates information but also constructs social realities by influencing how events, actors, and ideas are perceived. Understanding these processes is essential for critically evaluating media narratives in contemporary democratic and digital communication environments. In an era of rapid information propagation, combating disinformation and misinformation requires critical thinking, strengthening media literacy and fact-verification practices among masses. Educational institutions should encourage analytical skills that enable individuals to recognize bias, evaluate sources and distinguish between narratives and facts. Media organizations should stick to professional standards of transparency, accuracy and accountability, while governments should support access to credible information without undermining freedom of expression which is the fundamental right of citizens of all nations. People must also diversify their information sources to avoid ideological polarization, confirmation bias and echo chambers. A well-informed and critically aware public is essential for social cohesion, rational decision making, democratic

resilience, and the protection of national interests in an increasingly advanced yet complex information environment.

References

1. Allsobrook, C., & Boisen, C. (2016). Two Types of Trusteeship in South Africa: From Subjugation to Separate Development. *Politikon*, 44(2), 265–285. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02589346.2015.1121623>
2. Bahador, B. (2007). *The CNN Effect in Action*. Palgrave Macmillan US. <https://doi.org/10.1057/9780230604223>
3. Burns, D. (1998, March 5). Library Guides: Cinema Studies: Red Scare Filmography. *Guides.lib.uw.edu*. <https://guides.lib.uw.edu/c.php?g=341346&p=2303736>
4. Cambridge Dictionary. (2025, October 29). narrative. @CambridgeWords. <https://dictionary.cambridge.org/dictionary/english/narrative>.
5. Carpenter, T. G. (2022). Unreliable Watchdog. Google Books. <https://books.google.com.pk/books?hl=en&lr=&id=CmudEAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PT8&dq=Case+studies+vividly+illustrate+this+media+manipulation.+During+the+Cuban+Mis+ile+Crisis+in+1962#v=onepage&q&f=false>
6. Chomsky, N., & Herman, E. (1988). A Propaganda Model, by Noam Chomsky (Excerpted from Manufacturing Consent). *Chomsky.info*. <https://chomsky.info/consent01/>
7. Cull, N. (2012). Master of American Propaganda | American Experience | PBS. *Pbs.org*. <https://www.pbs.org/wgbh/americanexperience/features/the-great-war-master-of-american-propaganda/>
8. Davie, G. (2011, May 2). Cultivation Theory. *Mass Communication Theory*. <https://masscommtheory.com/theory-overviews/cultivation-theory>
9. Facing History & Ourselves. (2022, April 28). Propaganda at the Movies | Facing History and Ourselves. *Www.facinghistory.org*. <https://www.facinghistory.org/resource-library/propaganda-movies>
10. Flagg, & Montgomery, J. (2015). I want you for U.S. Army : nearest recruiting station / James Montgomery Flagg. The Library of Congress. <https://www.loc.gov/resource/ppmsc.03521>
11. Hanners, K. (2021). Sounds of the Reich: Nazi Party Radio and Speeches. Undergraduate Research Symposium. <https://irl.umsl.edu/urs/71/>
12. Hehman, M. G., Wiener, B., Hunter, A., Harmon, S., & Bonzon, C. (2025). 100 Years of War and the Media..An analytical evaluation of the role media palayed in 5 major American Wars. *Stanford.edu*. https://web.stanford.edu/class/e297c/war_peace/media/hmedia.html
13. Herring, G. (2001). The Vietnam War and the My Lai Massacre | Gilder Lehrman Institute of American History. *Gilderlehrman.org*. <https://www.gilderlehrman.org/history-resources/essays/vietnam-war-and-my-lai-massacre>
14. Jain, S. (2024). Civilizing Mission - mission civilisatrice of the European Colonial Powers with a commentary on Islamic Civilizing Mission (Da'wah) Mission Islamique 21st Century . <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4935203>
15. JamshīdīM. (2014). The future of the Arab Spring : civic entrepreneurship in politics, art, and technology startups. Elsevier/Butterworth-Heinemann.
16. King, M. W. (2025, September 16). How did combatants' narratives and social media shape Syria's civil war? | ClarkU News. *ClarkU News*. <https://www.clarku.edu/news/2024/02/29/how-did-combatants-narratives-social-media-shape-syrias-civil-war/>

17. Main, K. L. (2015). Bombing the Tomb: Memorial Portraiture and Street Art in Revolutionary Cairo. *Berkeley Undergraduate Journal*, 28(1). <https://doi.org/10.5070/b3281025789>
18. Noam Chomsky. (2005). *Media Control*. Seven Stories Press.
19. Office of the Historian. (2025). U.S. Involvement in the Vietnam War: the Tet Offensive, 1968. State.gov; Office of the Historian. <https://history.state.gov/milestones/1961-1968/tet>
20. Polk, B. (2016, April 25). Primary and Secondary Educational Changes During the Third Reich. The Honors College. <https://honors.appstate.edu/primary-and-secondary-educational-changes-during-third-reich>
21. Putri, S. D. G., Purnomo, E. P., & Khairunissa, T. (2024). Echo Chambers and Algorithmic Bias: The Homogenization of Online Culture in a Smart Society. *SHS Web of Conferences*, 202(1), 05001. <https://doi.org/10.1051/shsconf/202420205001>
22. Raes, S., Du Bois, C., & Buts, C. (2018). Supplying UN Peacekeepers: An Assessment of the Body Bag Syndrome among OECD Nations. *International Peacekeeping*, 26(1), 111–136. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13533312.2018.1512858>
23. Richard, S. (2020). Big lie | EBSCO. EBSCO Information Services, Inc. | [Wwww.ebsco.com](http://www.ebsco.com). <https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/social-sciences-and-humanities/big-lie>
24. Sebring, E. (2015, July 6). Civilization & Barbarism: Cartoon Commentary & “The White Man’s Burden” (1898–1902) – The Asia-Pacific Journal: Japan Focus. <https://apjpf.org/2015/13/27/Ellen-Sebring/4339>
25. Spector, R. H. (2016, April 27). Vietnam War and the media | History, Walter Cronkite, Photographers, & Facts. *Encyclopedia Britannica*. <https://www.britannica.com/event/The-Vietnam-War-and-the-media-2051426>
26. Taif, S. (2022, January 18). Media: Building Narratives. *Pide.org.pk*; Pakistan Institute of Development Economics, PIDE Islamabad. <https://pide.org.pk/research/media-building-narratives>
27. Trang, N., & Phuc, N. (2024). Media Warfare in the Cold War Era. *The International Journal of Business Management and Technology*, 8. <https://theijbmt.com/archive/0958/729793941.pdf>
28. Williams, A., McLinden, A., Riabko, C., Napier, K., Robertson, K., & Team, M. I. (2024, August 31). Media Decolonization and Dewesternization (by Kyle Napier). *Insights into Communication Studies: Understanding Foundational Theories for Media Literacy*; Pressbooks. <https://pressbooks.openeducationalberta.ca/insightsintocommstudies/chapter/chapter-11-media-decolonization-and-dewesternization>
29. Williams, A., McLinden, A., Riabko, C., Napier, K., Robertson, K., & Team, M. I. (2024, August 31). Media Decolonization and Dewesternization (by Kyle Napier). *Insights into Communication Studies: Understanding Foundational Theories for Media Literacy*; Pressbooks. <https://pressbooks.openeducationalberta.ca/insightsintocommstudies/chapter/chapter-11-media-decolonization-and-dewesternization>