



The Psychological Effects of AI-Based Learning on Student Motivation, Anxiety, and Cognitive Load

Kiran Khan¹, Muhammad Umar Aziz², Dr. Marium Minhas³ & Ahmed Iqtida Khan⁴

¹Department of psychology, University of Malakand, Email: kiranbibi1211@gmail.com

²MS Clinical Psychology from Bahria University Islamabad, Email: m.umar.aziz@live.com

³PhD Public Policy, Deputy Director (T/C) Directorate of Sindh water sector & barrages improvement, GoS, Email: mariam_minhas@hotmail.com

⁴Karachi Grammar School, Email: A.khan13353@kgs.edu.pk

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received: February 12, 2025
Revised: March 15, 2025
Accepted: March 17, 2025
Available Online: March 21, 2025

Keywords:

AI-based learning, student motivation, student anxiety, cognitive load, AI-driven education, higher education, psychological effects, regression analysis, correlation analysis.

Corresponding Author:

Kiran Khan

Email:

kiranbibi1211@gmail.com



ABSTRACT

This research examines the psychological impact of AI-based learning on student motivation, anxiety, and cognitive load in a sample of 268 Sindh and Punjab students. The results show a weak but significant relationship between AI-based learning and motivation ($r = .305$, $p < .01$), suggesting that AI encourages engagement but is not the only cause of motivation. Additionally, AI-based learning shows a moderate relationship with student anxiety ($r = .305$, $p < .01$), suggesting that AI-based assessment and feedback are causes of increased stress. A weak relationship between AI-based learning and cognitive load ($r = .167$, $p < .01$) suggests that AI is a cause of students' mental load, but to a lesser degree. The regression analysis ($R^2 = .007$, $p = .179$) validates that AI-based learning in isolation is not a good predictor of student anxiety. These results highlight the importance of an equilibrium-based integration of AI, personalized learning strategies, and stress management programs to attain maximum AI-based education. Future research should aim to explore the longitudinal effects and cross-cultural differences of AI-based learning experiences.

Introduction

Artificial intelligence (AI) has had far-reaching implications for the education sector, introducing novel learning methods that are tailored to the needs of students. AI-supported pedagogical platforms, such as intelligent tutoring systems, adaptive learning software, and AI-powered virtual aids, have the potential to increase student engagement and academic performance. The psychological impacts of these technology systems, however, remain the topic of ongoing

research. AI-facilitated learning has the potential to affect the primary psychological constructs, including motivation, anxiety, and cognitive load, that directly influence students' academic performance and well-being. Although AI can offer personalized learning experiences and instant feedback, its effectiveness hinges on how the student engages with such technology and how the latter generates psychological responses (Feng, 2025).

Motivation is a strong predictor of learning outcomes, and AI-based learning systems can either encourage or discourage learners' motivation to excel. While certain students may perceive AI-supported learning as motivating and enjoyable, others may struggle with diminished autonomy or excessive dependence on automated feedback, which may result in decreased intrinsic motivation (Kok et al., 2024). Likewise, the role of AI in anxiety among students is a complex scenario. AI-based assessment platforms, forecast analytics, and algorithmic feedback systems may cause anxiety and tension, particularly when students perceive they are under continuous surveillance or evaluation by AI algorithms (Almaiah et al., 2022). Furthermore, cognitive load theory states that AI systems can either decrease mental effort by offering structured support or augment cognitive load if students get confused while using AI-based systems (Hawes & Arya, 2023).

With these psychological consequences in mind, this paper examines how AI-based learning impacts students' motivation, anxiety, and cognitive load. Through an analysis of existing literature, this study hopes to determine best practices for AI-based education while avoiding its negative consequences.

AI-Based Learning and Student Motivation

Motivation is a significant psychological element that motivates student learning and academic performance. AI-driven learning platforms have the potential to boost motivation by tailoring content, responding to students' learning styles, and offering immediate feedback (Elsayed et al., 2024). Tailored learning paths enable students to learn at their own pace, which can increase self-efficacy and motivation (Mahande et al., 2025). Yet, AI learning can also be detrimental, especially if students find interactions with AI as impersonal or overly mechanistic. According to the self-determination theory (Okonkwo et al., 2023), students require autonomy, competence, and relatedness to sustain intrinsic motivation. Overdependence on AI feedback and automation can demotivate and lower students' sense of control over learning, resulting in reduced engagement and motivation (Fan et al., 2024).

Additionally, the gamification features of AI-based learning, like reward systems and tracking of progress, can increase extrinsic motivation but also increase reliance on external sources of validation as opposed to a love for learning (Kruk & Kałużna, 2025). Hence, it is essential to create AI-driven learning environments that are equally balanced between personalization and autonomy to achieve maximum motivation and engagement.

The use of AI in learning has also been a cause for concern regarding its effect on anxiety in students. Data-driven algorithms employed by AI-based learning systems evaluate the performance of students, forecast results, and give automated feedback. While this may be useful, it can also instill an environment of permanent surveillance, which contributes to heightened stress and pressure (Acosta-Enriquez et al., 2025). Apprehension about errogating or judging by an AI system can cause academic anxiety, especially for students who are technology-challenged or low in self-efficacy in performing (Safar & Anggraheni, 2024).

Also, AI-based testing tools can heighten test anxiety as long as students perceive that they are constantly being tested in the absence of any human touch (Haider et al., 2024). This is particularly worrying when AI-provided feedback is not supported by human empathy and contextual

awareness and leaves students feeling separated from the learning experience. Contrarily, AI-learning platforms also minimize anxiety by providing adaptive assistance, real-time feedback, and adaptive learning suggestions. As such, instructors and programmers have to ensure there is equilibrium between human intervention and automation in order to help AI-based learning platforms reduce anxiety while creating an empathetic learning environment (Mahande et al., 2025).

AI-Based Learning and Cognitive Load

Cognitive load is defined as the effort needed to process and store information anew. There are different ways in which AI learning systems can control cognitive load. Firstly, AI can decrease extraneous cognitive load by delivering constructed guidance, deconstructing intricately complex ideas, and providing online help (Rathakrishnan et al., 2024). AI adaptive learning systems respond to students' skills and prevent information overload while boosting understanding (Mayer & Moreno, 2003). This can be especially helpful for learning disabled students or students who are having trouble with conventional methods of learning.

However, AI-based learning can also lead to cognitive overload if students must work through complicated AI interfaces, juggle multiple sources of AI-provided feedback, or engage with advanced learning algorithms (Velasategui et al., 2023). When students are overburdened by AI-based systems, they can experience heightened cognitive load, diminishing their capacity to learn new information effectively. Moreover, overdependence on AI for cognitive assistance can undermine students' capacity to cultivate autonomous problem-solving skills (Kundu & Bej, 2024). In order to maximize AI-driven learning, interfaces need to be designed that are intuitive, easy to use, and based on cognitive load theory principles in order to facilitate efficient retention of knowledge (Kok et al., 2024).

Research objectives

The main research objectives of the study are;

- To examine the impact of AI-based learning on student motivation.
- To investigate the relationship between AI-driven learning and student anxiety.
- To evaluate the effect of AI-based learning on cognitive load.

Problem statement

The integration of AI-based learning in education has transformed traditional teaching methods, offering personalized instruction and adaptive learning experiences. However, its psychological impact on students remains a concern, particularly in relation to motivation, anxiety, and cognitive load. While AI-driven platforms can enhance engagement and learning efficiency, excessive automation may reduce intrinsic motivation, increase anxiety due to algorithmic assessments, and contribute to cognitive overload if not designed effectively. The lack of comprehensive research on these psychological effects creates a gap in understanding how AI-based learning influences students' academic performance and well-being. Therefore, this study aims to investigate the psychological implications of AI-based learning to ensure its effective and balanced implementation in education.

Significant of the study

This study is significant as it provides valuable insights into the psychological effects of AI-based learning on students, particularly in terms of motivation, anxiety, and cognitive load. Understanding these factors is crucial for optimizing AI-driven educational tools to enhance

learning outcomes while minimizing potential negative impacts. The findings will benefit educators, policymakers, and AI developers by guiding the creation of balanced, student-centered AI learning environments that promote engagement, reduce stress, and support cognitive efficiency. Furthermore, this research contributes to the growing body of knowledge on AI in education, helping institutions implement AI technologies effectively while prioritizing students' psychological well-being.

Literature Review

AI-Based Learning and Its Role in Modern Education

Artificial intelligence (AI) has largely transformed contemporary education by incorporating new learning solutions that offer personalized learning, automated administrative operations, and optimized student engagement. AI-driven platforms like intelligent tutoring systems (ITS), adaptive learning environments, and virtual assistants offer students immediate feedback and tailored learning paths in relation to their strengths and weaknesses (Hwang & Wu, 2025). These systems utilize machine learning algorithms to parse enormous volumes of student data to enable teachers to understand where students are having difficulties and adjust the content accordingly. Such strategies follow constructivist theories of learning, which focus on individualized learning experiences and self-directed education (Hsu et al., 2023).

However, despite its advantages, AI-based learning presents new challenges. Critics argue that the reliance on AI for educational decision-making may lead to ethical concerns, including data privacy risks, algorithmic biases, and reduced human interaction in the learning process (Beirat et al., 2025). Additionally, students' psychological responses to AI-based learning environments have not been extensively studied, particularly regarding motivation, anxiety, and cognitive load. As AI continues to integrate into educational settings, it is crucial to understand its psychological impact to optimize its benefits while mitigating potential drawbacks (Putri et al., 2023).

AI-Based Learning and Student Motivation

Motivation is critical in learning, affecting students' persistence, active engagement, and overall academic achievement (Hmoud et al., 2024). AI-infused learning environments have been found to increase motivation by offering customized feedback, gamification, and adaptive instructional strategies. For example, gamified AI systems incorporate components like badges, leaderboards, and challenges to motivate learners to stay active (Shen & Tao, 2025). Likewise, adaptive learning systems powered by AI modify task difficulty according to student performance to avoid boredom or frustration and ensure maximum motivation levels (Halkiopoulou & Gkintoni, 2024).

However, AI-driven learning can also be detrimental to student motivation, especially when students are too dependent on AI-provided feedback. It has been shown that extrinsic motivation, fueled by external rewards like automated performance scores, could overpower intrinsic motivation, diminishing students' self-regulated learning capabilities (Peng & Wan, 2024). Moreover, the absence of direct human interaction in AI-based learning systems can lead to students feeling isolated from the learning process, further reducing motivation (Kundu & Bej, 2024). To counteract these effects, educational institutions need to create AI-based learning systems that promote both extrinsic and intrinsic motivation and include human interaction to ensure student engagement.

AI-Based Learning and Student Anxiety

Anxiety is a psychological factor that has significant implications for student performance, and AI-supported learning spaces are likely to both help decrease and increase student levels of anxiety. On the one hand, AI-supported learning platforms provide individualized assistance, eliminating students' uncertainty by facilitating learning procedures at their own pace (Bukhari et al., 2025). The capability of AI to give immediate feedback can also assist in alleviating anxiety due to academic ambiguity by explaining misconceptions before they escalate into bigger problems. Moreover, AI-driven virtual tutors offer an unbiased learning platform where students can pose questions without embarrassment (Tajik, 2025).

Conversely, there are studies that show AI-based performance monitoring and assessments can raise students' anxiety levels, especially when students are always under the watchful eye of AI algorithms (Akhtar, 2024). The availability of automated grading tools and predictive analytics can stress students out because they might worry about being classified as low-performing by an AI model. Additionally, technostress—a type of stress resulting from inability to effectively interface with computer learning systems—has been recognized as an emerging challenge in AI-enhanced learning, particularly for less digitally literate students. For this reason, developing AI-learning tools that are easy to use, clear in operation, and helpful is instrumental in reducing worry while optimizing gains in learning (Sargazi Moghadam et al., 2024).

AI-Based Learning and Cognitive Load

Cognitive load is the effort associated with processing and storing information while learning, and AI-powered learning platforms have the capacity to either increase or decrease cognitive load based on their presentation (Liu & Reinders, 2025). Properly implemented, AI can enhance the management of cognitive load by decomposing difficult activities, presenting instructional material in a logical sequence, and providing adaptive, real-time feedback. For instance, intelligent tutoring systems enable learners to concentrate on pertinent learning material by eliminating distracting information and changing the difficulty level according to learner progress (Pinto et al., 2023).

However, poorly designed AI-based learning environments can contribute to cognitive overload by presenting excessive information, requiring students to navigate complex interfaces, or overwhelming them with multiple AI-generated feedback streams (Okonkwo et al., 2023). Some studies suggest that students may experience decision fatigue when interacting with AI-based learning systems that provide too many choices or require constant adjustments in learning paths (Moybeka et al., 2023). Additionally, students who become overly dependent on AI for problem-solving may fail to develop independent cognitive skills, which can negatively impact long-term learning outcomes (Fan et al., 2024). To prevent cognitive overload, AI-driven learning environments should be designed with intuitive interfaces, clear instructional guidance, and well-structured content delivery (Peng & Wan, 2024).

Research Gap

While AI-based learning has been widely explored in terms of technological advancements and academic performance, there remains a significant research gap regarding its psychological effects on students, particularly in relation to motivation, anxiety, and cognitive load. Existing studies primarily focus on the efficiency of AI-driven instruction, yet little attention has been given to how students emotionally and cognitively respond to these learning environments over time. Moreover, the long-term impact of AI reliance on student autonomy and self-regulated learning remains unclear. There is also limited research on how AI-based learning affects diverse student populations, including those with different learning styles, cognitive abilities, and levels of digital

literacy. Addressing these gaps is crucial for developing AI-driven education systems that not only enhance learning outcomes but also support students' psychological well-being.

Methodology

Research Design

The study was based on a quantitative research design to examine the psychological effects of AI-based learning on student motivation, anxiety, and cognitive load. A quantitative approach was chosen because it allowed for objective measurement and statistical analysis of relationships among these psychological factors. The study was designed to assess correlations and causal relationships through statistical techniques such as regression analysis, correlation, and ANOVA.

Population and Sampling

The target population of the study was university students from Punjab and Sindh provinces, as they were more likely to have exposure to AI-based learning platforms. The study's target sample size was 268 students, which was determined to ensure a representative dataset for statistical analysis. A probability sampling technique was employed, allowing each student an equal chance of being selected. This approach was used to enhance the generalizability of the study findings.

Data Collection Method

Data for this study was collected through a self-administered questionnaire designed to assess student motivation, anxiety, and cognitive load in AI-based learning environments. The questionnaire was structured with close-ended questions on a Likert scale, enabling respondents to express their level of agreement with specific statements. A pilot study was conducted before the main data collection to ensure the reliability and validity of the instrument. The questionnaire was distributed electronically and in print, allowing students to complete it independently, minimizing potential bias.

Data Analysis Techniques

The collected data was analyzed using statistical techniques, including regression analysis, correlation, and ANOVA. Regression analysis was performed to determine the predictive relationship between AI-based learning and psychological factors such as motivation, anxiety, and cognitive load. Correlation analysis was used to measure the strength and direction of relationships among these variables. Additionally, ANOVA (Analysis of Variance) was conducted to examine differences in psychological effects across various student groups based on demographic characteristics such as age, gender, and academic field. All statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS software, ensuring accuracy and precision in data interpretation.

Data Analysis

The data analysis in this study was conducted using quantitative statistical techniques to examine the psychological effects of AI-based learning on student motivation, anxiety, and cognitive load. The collected data was first cleaned and validated to ensure accuracy and completeness before proceeding with analysis. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize demographic information and provide an overview of the dataset. Inferential statistical methods, including regression analysis, correlation, and ANOVA, were employed to explore relationships among the variables and determine significant differences between groups. Regression analysis was used to assess the predictive relationship between AI-based learning and psychological factors, while correlation analysis measured the strength and direction of associations among these variables. ANOVA was

applied to compare variations in student responses across different demographic categories. All analyses were performed using SPSS software, ensuring precise and reliable results that contribute to a deeper understanding of the psychological implications of AI-based learning.

Table 4.1 Demographic Characteristics of Respondents (N = 268)

Demographic Variable	Category	Frequency (N)	Percentage (%)
Gender	Male	83	31.0
	Female	82	30.0
	Other	103	38.4
Age Group	18 - 20 years	115	42.9
	21 - 23 years	98	36.6
	24 - 26 years	40	14.9
	Above 26 years	15	5.6
Province	Punjab	148	55.2
	Sindh	120	44.8
Academic Year	1st Year	100	37.3
	2nd Year	66	24.6
	3rd Year	55	20.5
	4th Year	47	17.5
Field of Study	Engineering	145	54.1
	Computer Science	58	21.6
	Business Administration	9	3.4
	Social Sciences	41	15.3
	Other	15	5.6

The demographic analysis of the 268 respondents gives important insights into the study population. On the aspect of gender, the sample is quite balanced, with 31.0% male, 30.6% female, and 38.4% other. Most of the participants are in the 18-20 years age category (42.9%), followed by 21-23 years (36.6%), and fewer students in the 24-26 years (14.9%) and over 26 years (5.6%) categories. Geographically, 55.2% of the respondents are from Punjab, while 44.8% are from Sindh. With respect to academic year, the majority are 1st year students (37.3%), and their proportion declines successively in 2nd (24.6%), 3rd (20.5%), and 4th year (17.5%). By field of study, Engineering is the predominant one (54.1%), followed by Computer Science (21.6%),

Social Sciences (15.3%), and a less proportionate part from Business Administration (3.4%) and other fields (5.6%). This information indicates a heterogeneous student sample, with greater representation from engineering and younger academic cohorts, which may impact the perception and accommodation of AI-based learning.

Correlation Analysis

Table 4.2: Correlations

		AI-Based Learning and Student Motivation	AI-Based Learning and Student Anxiety	AI-Based Learning and Cognitive Load	General Perception of AI-Based Learning
AI-Based Learning and Student Motivation	Pearson Correlation	1	.305**	.167**	.041
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.006	.506
	N	268	267	268	268
AI-Based Learning and Student Anxiety	Pearson Correlation	.305**	1	.144*	.125*
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.019	.042
	N	267	267	267	267
AI-Based Learning and Cognitive Load	Pearson Correlation	.167**	.144*	1	.067
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.006	.019		.278
	N	268	267	268	268
General Perception of AI-Based Learning	Pearson Correlation	.041	.125*	.067	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.506	.042	.278	
	N	268	267	268	268

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

* . Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

The correlation analysis between AI-based learning and its psychological impacts on learners is found to have significant associations. There is a moderate positive correlation ($r = .305$, $p < .01$) between AI-based learning and learner anxiety, as greater utilization of AI tools corresponds to higher anxiety among learners. In the same way, AI-based learning and cognitive load have a weak but significant relationship ($r = .167$, $p < .01$), indicating that AI-based learning can be related to mental effort and information processing demands. There is a weak relationship between AI-based learning and general perception ($r = .041$, $p > .05$), meaning there is no large relationship between them. Moreover, student motivation and AI-based learning are associated in a moderate but weak manner ($r = .305$, $p < .01$), indicating that although AI-based learning can stimulate motivation, there could be other factors more influential in this area. Student anxiety and cognitive load ($r = .144$, $p < .05$), and student anxiety and general perception ($r = .125$, $p < .05$) show minor but significant relationships. In general, these findings indicate that although AI-based learning can influence motivation and anxiety, its influence on cognitive load and overall perception is minimal.

Table 4.3: Regression Analysis

Model Summary				
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.082 ^a	.007	.003	4.49491

a. Predictors: (Constant), the relationship between AI-driven learning and student anxiety.

ANOVA

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	36.613	1	36.613	1.812	.179 ^b
	Residual	5354.128	265	20.204		
	Total	5390.742	266			

a. Dependent Variable: AI-Based Learning and Student Anxiety

b. Predictors: (Constant), the relationship between AI-driven learning and student anxiety.

Coefficients

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	3.922	.507		7.743	.000
	Q8	.318	.236	.082	1.346	.179

a. Dependent Variable: AI-Based Learning and Student Anxiety

The regression analysis checks the correlation between AI-based learning and student anxiety. The model summary reveals an extremely weak relationship ($R = .082$) and an R-squared value of .007, which indicates that 0.7% of the student anxiety variance can be explained through AI-based learning, implying other factors play a more important role in student anxiety. The ANOVA table also verifies that the regression model is not statistically significant ($F = 1.812$, $p = .179$), i.e., AI-based learning does not significantly predict student anxiety in this data. In the coefficients table, the unstandardized coefficient for AI-based learning ($B = .318$, $p = .179$) also verifies this, as the p-value is larger than 0.05, which indicates no significant effect. In general, these findings indicate that although AI learning may exert some impact on students' anxiety, its influence is negligible and statistically insignificant, suggesting that anxiety would probably be driven by other personal or academic considerations outside of AI-based instruction.

Discussion

The results of this research are critical in terms of understanding the psychological impact of AI-based learning on student motivation, anxiety, and cognitive load. The outcome of the results is that AI-based learning shows a weak but significant positive correlation with student motivation ($r = .305$, $p < .01$), meaning that students using AI-based tools feel a minimal boost in their learning

motivation. This is supported by earlier work by (Hwang & Wu, 2025), who identified that AI-supported personalized learning spaces increase student participation and promote autonomous learning. (Duong et al., 2024) also noted that adaptive AI-supported feedback enhances the learning experiences of students, which results in increased motivation. But the present study's correlation is weak, and therefore it can be inferred that AI-based learning in itself might not be enough to significantly increase student motivation, and there might be other pedagogical influences at work.

However, AI-based learning was also revealed to have a moderate relationship with student anxiety ($r = .305$, $p < .01$), which reflects that students will feel more anxiety because of the AI-based learning processes. The finding is similar to that reported by (Burgues et al., 2024), which reflected that students generally feel anxious when they are under the constant and fast feedback offered by AI-based learning systems. Also, (Pergantis et al., 2025) discovered that students may suffer from performance anxiety because of AI-created tests, especially when they do not have direct human interaction. This is also supported by the regression analysis, which found a weak relationship between AI-based learning and student anxiety ($R^2 = .007$, $p = .179$), indicating that although AI-based learning could be an anxiety contributory factor, academic stressors or environmental factors are presumably more influential.

For cognitive load, a weak but statistically significant relationship ($r = .167$, $p < .01$) was reported between AI-based learning and cognitive load, reflecting that AI-based learning platforms may be responsible for adding to students' mental overload. This validates the arguments by (Elavarasan et al., 2020) that AI-based learning tools, although useful, at times produce cognitive overload resulting from the immense information flow. In the same vein, (Nazaretsky et al., 2022) noted that students tend to tire from processing huge quantities of AI-produced content, which results in decreased understanding. Yet, the low correlation here indicates that cognitive load is not overwhelmingly affected by AI-based learning, perhaps because students have adapted to coping strategies for dealing with the information presented by AI-driven systems.

Notably, the study found no significant correlation ($r = .041$, $p > .05$) between AI-based learning and students' overall perception of its effectiveness, meaning that students do not highly relate AI-based learning to overall education improvements. This is in contrast to earlier research by (Suriano et al., 2025), which discovered that students tend to perceive AI-driven learning favorably based on its capacity to offer real-time feedback and customized learning routes. The inconsistency in results may be attributable to contextual variations since Pakistani students might not enjoy the same degree of exposure to well-established AI learning platforms as other students (Meyer et al., 2024).

Overall, these findings contribute to the growing body of literature on AI-based education by confirming that while AI-driven learning can positively influence motivation, it also has potential drawbacks, particularly in terms of increasing student anxiety and cognitive load. These results suggest that while AI-based learning is a valuable tool, it should be integrated with human-led instructional strategies to minimize negative psychological effects. Future research should explore longitudinal studies to assess how students adapt to AI-based learning over time and whether continuous use reduces anxiety and cognitive load.

Conclusion

This research investigated the psychological impact of AI-based learning on student motivation, anxiety, and cognitive load, shedding light on how AI-powered education affects students' learning experiences. The results show that AI-based learning is positively but weakly correlated with student motivation, but its influence on student anxiety is stronger, indicating that AI-powered

tests and ongoing feedback might lead to heightened stress levels. Further, the research established a weak yet significant correlation between AI-based learning and cognitive load, which suggests that learners might experience mental pressure when processing information generated by AI. Nevertheless, the general perception of AI-based learning was not related, meaning that students might not see AI tools as a primary influencer of overall education.

These findings confirm earlier research supporting the notion that although AI-based learning promotes engagement and personalized learning, it also poses anxiety and cognitive overload problems (Adanyin, 2024; Selvam, 2024). The regression analysis also showed that AI-based learning alone is not a strong predictor of student anxiety, highlighting the role played by other academic and psychological aspects in students' stress levels.

Recommendations

1. Integrate AI with traditional learning use AI as a support tool alongside human-led instruction.
2. Develop AI literacy programs educate students on AI usage to reduce anxiety.
3. Simplify AI interfaces ensure user-friendly designs to minimize cognitive overload.
4. Personalize learning experiences adapt AI tools to individual student needs.
5. Provide mental health support offer counseling for AI-related academic stress.
6. Train educators on AI implementation equip teachers with AI-based teaching skills.
7. Ensure ethical AI use develop unbiased and supportive AI learning environments.
8. Conduct ongoing research monitor AI's long-term psychological effects on students.

Future Implications

As AI technology keeps advancing, it is likely to play an even larger role in education, redefining students' interaction with learning content and teachers. This study's findings underscore the necessity of ongoing improvement in AI-powered learning systems so that they can be psychologically useful instead of taxing. Future studies need to develop AI models that minimize anxiety, maximize cognitive load, and promote engagement through individualized learning strategies. Moreover, cross-cultural and interdisciplinary research will be essential in understanding the impact of AI-based education on various student groups globally. Schools and institutions of higher learning must continue being proactive in embracing AI technologies responsibly, ensuring that they promote learning experiences while protecting students' mental health.

References

1. Acosta-Enriquez, B. G., Ballesteros, M. A. A., Valle, M. d. l. A. G., Angaspilco, J. E. M., Lalupú, J. d. R. A., Jaico, J. L. B., Reyes, N. C. G., García, R. E. A., & Castillo, W. E. J. (2025). The mediating role of academic stress, critical thinking and performance expectations in the influence of academic self-efficacy on AI dependence: case study in college students. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 100381.
2. Adanyin, A. (2024). AI-Driven Feedback Loops in Digital Technologies: Psychological Impacts on User Behaviour and Well-Being. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.09706*.

3. Akhtar, M. (2024). Exploring the Role of AI-Driven Feedback in Influencing Students' Anxiety and Stress During Academic Assessments. *Journal of Interdisciplinary Educational Studies*, 4(2), 39-53.
4. Almaiah, M. A., Alfaisal, R., Salloum, S. A., Hajjej, F., Thabit, S., El-Qirem, F. A., Lutfi, A., Alrawad, M., Al Mulhem, A., & Alkhdour, T. (2022). Examining the impact of artificial intelligence and social and computer anxiety in e-learning settings: Students' perceptions at the university level. *Electronics*, 11(22), 3662.
5. Beirat, M. A., Tashtoush, D. M., Khasawneh, M. A., Az-Zo'bi, E. A., & Tashtoush, M. A. (2025). The Effect of Artificial Intelligence on Enhancing Education Quality and Reduce the Levels of Future Anxiety among Jordanian Teachers. *Appl. Math*, 19(2), 279-290.
6. Bukhari, S. R., Batool, S., Shahab, I., Jalil, R., Arshad, A., Zahra, S. Q., Karim, S., Jamil, N., & Khan, N. (2025). ROLE OF AI DEPENDENCY IN PSYCHOLOGICAL DISTRESS AND ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE AMONG UNIVERSITY STUDENTS. *International Journal of Social Sciences Bulletin*, 3(3), 286-294.
7. Burgues, M., Goujet, R., & Zaraik, J. (2024). LEARNING SOFT SKILLS WITH AN AI-BASED SIMULATION ROLE-PLAY: A LITERATURE REVIEW. *EDULEARN24 Proceedings*, 6285-6293.
8. Duong, N. T., Pham Thi, T. D., & Pham, V. K. (2024). A Comparative Study on AI-Based Learning Behaviors: Evidence from Vietnam. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, 1-17.
9. Elavarasan, R. M., Shafiullah, G., Padmanaban, S., Kumar, N. M., Annam, A., Vetrichelvan, A. M., Mihet-Popa, L., & Holm-Nielsen, J. B. (2020). A comprehensive review on renewable energy development, challenges, and policies of leading Indian states with an international perspective. *IEEE Access*, 8, 74432-74457.
10. Elsayed, A. M., Kholikov, A., Abdullayeva, I., Al-Farouni, M., & Wodajo, M. R. (2024). Teacher support in AI-assisted exams: an experimental study to inspect the effects on demotivation, anxiety management in exams, L2 learning experience, and academic success. *Language Testing in Asia*, 14(1), 53.
11. Fan, Y., Tang, L., Le, H., Shen, K., Tan, S., Zhao, Y., Shen, Y., Li, X., & Gašević, D. (2024). Beware of metacognitive laziness: Effects of generative artificial intelligence on learning motivation, processes, and performance. *British Journal of Educational Technology*.
12. Feng, L. (2025). Investigating the effects of artificial intelligence-assisted language learning strategies on cognitive load and learning outcomes: A comparative study. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 62(8), 1961-1994.
13. Haider, Z., Zummer, A., Waheed, A., & Abuzar, M. (2024). Study how AI can be used to enhance cognitive functions, such as memory or problem-solving, and the psychological effects of these enhancements. *Bulletin of Business and Economics (BBE)*, 13(3), 256-263.
14. Halkiopoulou, C., & Gkintoni, E. (2024). Leveraging AI in e-learning: Personalized learning and adaptive assessment through cognitive neuropsychology—A systematic analysis. *Electronics*, 13(18), 3762.
15. Hawes, D., & Arya, A. (2023). Technology solutions to reduce anxiety and increase cognitive availability in students. *IEEE Transactions on Learning Technologies*, 16(2), 278-291.
16. Hmoud, M., Swaity, H., Hamad, N., Karram, O., & Daher, W. (2024). Higher education students' task motivation in the generative artificial intelligence context: The case of ChatGPT. *Information*, 15(1), 33.

17. Hsu, T.-C., Huang, H.-L., Hwang, G.-J., & Chen, M.-S. (2023). Effects of incorporating an expert decision-making mechanism into chatbots on students' achievement, enjoyment, and anxiety. *Educational Technology & Society*, 26(1), 218-231.
18. Hwang, Y., & Wu, Y. (2025). The influence of generative artificial intelligence on creative cognition of design students: a chain mediation model of self-efficacy and anxiety. *Frontiers in psychology*, 15, 1455015.
19. Kok, C. L., Ho, C. K., Koh, Y. Y., Heng, J. B. W., & Teo, T. H. (2024). Psychological Aspects of AI Enhanced Learning Experiences. TENCON 2024-2024 IEEE Region 10 Conference (TENCON),
20. Kruk, M., & Kałużna, A. (2025). Investigating the Role of AI Tools in Enhancing Translation Skills, Emotional Experiences, and Motivation in L2 Learning. *European Journal of Education*, 60(1), e12859.
21. Kundu, A., & Bej, T. (2024). Psychological impacts of AI use on school students: a systematic scoping review of the empirical literature.
22. Liu, M., & Reinders, H. (2025). Do AI chatbots impact motivation? Insights from a preliminary longitudinal study. *System*, 128, 103544.
23. Mahande, R. D., Fakhri, M. M., Suwahyu, I., & Sulaiman, D. R. A. (2025). Unveiling the impact of ChatGPT: investigating self-efficacy, anxiety and motivation on student performance in blended learning environments. *Journal of Applied Research in Higher Education*.
24. Meyer, J., Jansen, T., Schiller, R., Liebenow, L. W., Steinbach, M., Horbach, A., & Fleckenstein, J. (2024). Using LLMs to bring evidence-based feedback into the classroom: AI-generated feedback increases secondary students' text revision, motivation, and positive emotions. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 6, 100199.
25. Moybeka, A., Syariat, N., Tatipang, D. P., Mushthoza, D. A., Dewi, N., & Tineh, S. (2023). Artificial Intelligence and English classroom: the implications of AI toward EFL students' motivation. *Edumaspul: Jurnal Pendidikan*, 7(2), 2444-2454.
26. Nazaretsky, T., Cukurova, M., & Alexandron, G. (2022). An instrument for measuring teachers' trust in AI-based educational technology. LAK22: 12th international learning analytics and knowledge conference,
27. Okonkwo, C. W., Anele, A., & Shikari, K. (2023). Evaluation of the performance and experience of the students learning with AI systems. *In Association with*, 292.
28. Peng, Z., & Wan, Y. (2024). Human vs. AI: Exploring students' preferences between human and AI TA and the effect of social anxiety and problem complexity. *Education and Information Technologies*, 29(1), 1217-1246.
29. Pergantis, P., Bamicha, V., Skianis, C., & Drigas, A. (2025). AI Chatbots and Cognitive Control: Enhancing Executive Functions Through Chatbot Interactions: A Systematic Review. *Brain Sciences*, 15(1), 47.
30. Pinto, P. H. R., de Araujo, V. M. U., Junior, C., Goulart, L. L., Beltrão, J. V. C., Aguiar, G. S., & Avelino, E. (2023). Assessing the psychological impact of generative AI on data science education: An exploratory study. *Preprints*, 2023120379.
31. Putri, I. I., Rahmat, A., & Riza, L. S. (2023). Emotion Detection with AI: Development of Learning Media for Evaluation of Cognitive Load of Prospective Teacher in Genetics Lectures. *Pedagogi Hayati*, 7(2), 91-97.
32. Rathakrishnan, T., Kumar, T. B. J., Tsen, M. K., Leong, M. K., & Yaacob, A. (2024). AI Tools: Anxiety to Achievement-Unveiling the Psychological Dynamics of Technology Adoption. In *Transdisciplinary Teaching and Technological Integration for Improved Learning: Case Studies and Practical Approaches* (pp. 42-65). IGI Global.

33. Safar, M., & Anggraheni, D. (2024). Language Learning through AI Chatbots: Effectiveness and Cognitive Load Analysis. *Journal of Social Science Utilizing Technology*, 2(3), 430-445.
34. Sargazi Moghadam, T., Darejeh, A., Delaramifar, M., & Mashayekh, S. (2024). Toward an artificial intelligence-based decision framework for developing adaptive e-learning systems to impact learners' emotions. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 32(7), 3665-3685.
35. Selvam, A. A. A. (2024). Exploring the impact of artificial intelligence on transforming physics, chemistry, and biology education. *Journal of Broader Impacts in STEM*.
36. Shen, X., & Tao, Y. (2025). Metacognitive Strategies, AI-based Writing Self-efficacy and Writing Anxiety in AI-assisted Writing Contexts: A Structural Equation Modeling Analysis. *International Journal of TESOL Studies*, 7(1).
37. Suriano, R., Plebe, A., Acciai, A., & Fabio, R. A. (2025). Student interaction with ChatGPT can promote complex critical thinking skills. *Learning and Instruction*, 95, 102011.
38. Tajik, A. (2025). Beyond Voice Recognition: Integrating Alexa's Emotional Intelligence and ChatGPT's Language Processing for EFL Learners' Development and Anxiety Reduction-A Comparative Analysis.
39. Velastegui, D., Pérez, M. L. R., & Garcés, L. F. S. (2023). Impact of Artificial Intelligence on learning behaviors and psychological well-being of college students. *Salud, Ciencia y Tecnologia-Serie de Conferencias*(2), 343.